REPORT RESUMES

ED 012 393

ERIC

AA 000 145

AN EXPLORATORY INVESTIGATION OF "SENSORY IMAGE TYPES" IN FOREIGN LANGUAGE LEARNING. FINAL REPORT. BY- BAUER, ERIC W. INDIANA UNIV., BLOOMINGTON REPORT NUMBER NDES-VIIA-690 PUB DATE 64 GRANT OEG-7-12127 EDRS PRICE MF-\$D.75 HC-\$7.08 \$77P.

DESCRIPTORS- *VISUAL LEARNING, *LANGUAGE LEARNING LEVELS, *LANGUAGE INSTRUCTION, *AURAL LEARNING, *SENSORY EXPERIENCE, *GUESTIONNAIRES, NOTRE DAME, BLOOMINGTON

THE PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY WAS TO INVESTIGATE THE POSSIBILITY OF THE EXISTENCE OF DIFFERENT SENSORY-IMAGE TYPES IN LANGUAGE LEARNING. A GENERAL QUESTIONNAIRE WAS DEVELOPED WHICH INCLUDED QUESTIONS ON VISUAL AND AUDITORY IMAGERY. THIS WAS ADMINISTERED TO 50 COLLEGE FRESHMEN AND NEWLY ARRIVED AIRMEN AT THE AIR FORCE LANGUAGE SCHOOL LOCATED AT INDIANA UNIVERSITY. FROM THIS GROUP, 18 SUBJECTS WERE SELECTED AND CLASSIFIED INTO FOUR SENSORY-IMAGE, OR LEARNING, TYPES--AUDITORY, PICTORIAL, COMBINED (AUDITORY PLUS VERBAL IMAGE), AND AUDIO-PICTORIAL-VERBAL IMAGE. SEPARATE TEACHING PRESENTATIONS OF THE SAME VOCABULARY AND DIALOGS IN ELEMENTARY GERMAN WERE PREPARED FOR EACH LEARNING TYPE. PRE-AND POST-TESTING WERE COMPLETED ONLY FOR THE FRESHMEN (11). RESULTS INDICATED PICTORIAL PREFERENCE LEARNERS ACHIEVED THE SAME UNDER BOTH THE AUDIO AND PICTORIAL MODE. COMBINED **PREFERENCE TYPES SHOWED SLIGHT, BUT INCONCLUSIVE, GREATER** LEARNING FROM THE PICTORIAL LEARNING MODE. PURELY AUDIO OR GRAPHIC LEARNER GROUPS COULD NOT BE ESTABLISHED. RESULTS WERE INCONCLUSIVE CONCERNING THE AUDIO MODE. COMPLETE DISCUSSION OF THE STATISTICAL DATA WAS GIVEN AND EVALUATED FOR EACH OF THE 11 FRESHMAN SUBJECTS. (AL)



FINAL REPORT

to

The Commissioner of Education

United States Office of Education

Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

Under the Provisions of the Small Grant Program of

Title VII of the National Defense Education Act of 1958 (Public Law 85-864)

╄╄╪╬╬╬╬╬╬╬╬╬╎╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗

Submitted by:

00

2

a d b

ERIC

Eric V. Bauer, Principal Investigator German Department, Indiana University (At Present: Department of Modern Languages, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana)

Title of Project: An Exploratory Investigation of "Sensory Image Types" in Foreign Language Learning.

Title VII Project Number: 690 National Defense Education Act of 1958 Grant Number: 712127

The Research Reported Herein Was Supported by a Grant from the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Office of Education I. PROJECT TITLE: An Exploratory Investigation of "Sensory Image Types" in Foreign Language Learning.

 $\langle \rangle \rangle$

II. PROBLEM: The use of auditory, visual and motor stimuli in foreign language teaching can be traced to the oldest times. Most recently language teachers have been availing themselves of specific visual, motor and auditory stimuli in automatic teaching in order to reinforce the classroom experiences for the learner and to replace cortain phases of conventional classroom teaching through programmed learning in the language laboratory. The use of the language laboratory as a teaching machine for individual study (216) has, in general, been confined to the auditory medium of the tape-recorded verbal stimuli. The use of <u>other</u> stimulus and media combinations (verbal, pictorial, auditory, visual and motor) however, has been suggested by Skinner (295, 296), Marty (29ka), Mittenecker (212), Richter (261), Bauer (13) and others. Increased concern with pictorial materials justified the reopening of the question of "sensory image" types.

The purpose of this study, thus, was to investigate the possibility of the existence of different sensory image types in language learning. Affirmative evidence should lead to immediate bendfits in the designing of language learning programs. Practically, this would mean that in a future language laboratory serving as a teaching machine, Mr. A, who may be • predominantly a "visual type" has the chance to use German Elementary (GE) No. I / Visual program, Mr. B who is predominantly an "auditory type" may use GE I / Auditory, and Mr. C who belongs to a "mixed type" may have the chance to use GE I / Combined. All three programs would, of course, have the same teaching objective and cover the

same material, the major difference being the emphasis and sequence of different sense stimuli.

As a regult of the increased complexity of teaching problems in mass education, the individual capacities of the gifted and the slow language learner need special consideration in individualized programs of auto-instruction and remedial learning. At the same time reliable instruments for testing individual aptitude in foreign language learning are needed. To accomplish these aims, more information about the requisite skills is essential. Studies in aphasia, by emphasizing the primary importance of activities in the central nervous system for processes in thinking and the formation of language behavior (130), (131), (263), suggested that preferential sensory channels or, alternatively, preferential sensory image types, might be developed through differential functioning of the cerebral processes. These combinatory functions which may be reflected in language learning were interpreted as integration processes of individual sensory stimuli and retroactive responses which, if frequently reinforced, influence dynamic language structures (263).

5

ERIC

As a result of observations made in teaching foreign languages during the past fifteen years on the basis of previous research in Austria (48), in this country (6a), and in consideration of recent findings in Psycho-Linguistics, the investigator hypothesized that there are definitely different learning types (sensory image types) apparent at different age levels and in different degrees of preference-ability of memory-strength. As early researchers have found (162) and our own

Q

observations have supported, these preference types are more stable at the pre-school age, highly fluctuating in the adolescent age, and again considerably stabilized at maturity*.

This project was initiated as the result of the investigator's attempts in research and in practical experience of the last decade to find an improved analysis of some yet unknown factors in the complex process of language learning from S (stimulus) via O (organism) to R (response). The need

3

() () ()

^{*}Whether we assume that these sensory preferences in individuals can be consolidated into real "sensory image types" or not is not the crucial question. Our problem is whether these dominances are strong enough and significant enough for the perception-communication circle in foreign language learning to influence performance. If they do we must try to help the organism in programed learning for the gifted as well as in remedial learning for the under achiever. New teaching machines lie the "Empirical Tutor" (99a) and others nowadays can consider these dominances if we develop a theory of language programing which considers these individual differences and individual machine responsiveness correlated with them. Tentative extablishment of "sensory image types" may be a step towards this new theory for language learning even if there are no pure types but only predominances or physiologically based defects. The programer in cooperation with the language teacher who supervises and evokes Hockett's "sixth Function" (137) will have to elaborate on the minutest symptoms rather than generalized types of the highly differentiated individual with a certain predominance. The theorist to whom this exploration is supposed to give basic clues will have to define linguistic learnemes or minimal units which can be correlated in order to be programed. Pike has suggested this segmenatation elsewhere (242). What we eventually need is the establishment of Visemes (Pictoremes or Ikonemes as well as graphemes) Audemes Kinemes and Motoremes (Graphemes) which can be built into our complex program as ancillary aids and flexible adjustments to machine learning in order to provide for dominant learnemes which appeal to the individual's needs in accordance with his or her preference.

for this analysis has been stressed by Coulson and Silbermann, Osgood, Hebb, Penfield in recent literature and was an early topic of research in Wundt's, Meumann's and Stern's studies in the last and at the beginning of this century. While studies in the early decades investigated psycho-physical capacities of the individual but suffered from deficiencies in design and could not consider interactions with modern forms of stimulation, many recent research studies centered around the modern technical forms of sensory stimulation but neglected the 0 in an almost "empty organism"-approach or generalized conclustions about 0 in a too one-sided way.

Modern developments in auto-instruction and programed learning have necessitated increased concern with a thorough analysis of the Organism. Behaviorism has felt the "missing link" not only because of the insight that psycho-physical findings in medical and bio-linguistic research have made contributions but also because the changed individual of our audio-visual age is facing increased complexities of interaction, intraverbal behavior and operants. These complexities might very well cause increased exposure of physiologically or habit-based preferences or defects in imagery. Tolman has referred to the importance of these differences in imagery (3/8) and very recent studies in Stereotypy of imagery have suggested their existence (123a). Therefore it seemed sensible to design investigations with special consideration of the needs of the organism in a modern milieu of S-R reaction. Modern technology in the meantime has developed machines which can be adjusted to these needs in such a way that they individualize learning.

20

They individualize learning for the so called "gifted" as well as for the many degrees of the "poor", backward or less efficient learner. Both terms of course are highly ambiguous--especially in language learning, since, as Thurstone has suggested, intelligence is not necessarily a correlate of "giftedness" in language learning.

Although research has proved a frequent correlation of intelligence quotients with language ability scores our still young field of testing language ability, language achievement and especially long range retention is faced with many undeterminable factors based on physiological abilities and preferential attitude-forming experiences of a cultural as well as of an individual type.* (viz. forward movement in American speech sounds vs. backward movement in German speech sounds and certain individual differences caused through audile, visual, and kinaesthetic variations and defects.) Burt, (4/a) after having collected thousands of test results, maintains that sensory imagery does vary greatly and preferences can clearly be established. There can be no doubt that in a culture like The American where the general pattern already puts limitations and special variations on gestures and pitch in language behavior and where certain arche-phenomena of behavior are conditioned by the length and diphthongization of half-closed wowel, visual

シ

ERIC

*Notwithstanding the constancy of connotative meanings in a speech community (Osgood 1952 a) or even of archetypal symbols in large portions of mankind in empirically associated images which are caused by the structure of language behavior, the forms and types of imagery in the individual have remained different. --Wheelwright, Ph., <u>Metaphor</u> and <u>Reality</u>, Indiana University Press, Bloomington 1962, pp. 111-128.

audile, or kinaesthetic preferences have to be utilized to their full extent in learning a foreign language. As Burt holds, we do not know how much of these visual or audile predominances are innate or habitconditioned. Increased engagement in research in media and methods which consider predominances and individual differences thus seems mandatory.

6

ERIC

and the set

<u>Related Research</u>: Analysis of sensory image types of learners was an early topic of research in the exploration of the capacity of the mind of the child. Netschajeff (221), Pfeiffer (239), and Kenzies (162) reported that the children in the first ten years of school seem to be mainly of the visual type. Meumann (203) hypothesized that most people are visual types in their "object-images" and are auditorymeter types in their "verbal images". Watkins, Pfeiffer (239), and Meumann (203) held that the visual image types seem to prevail among female persons throughout life, although Lobsien (187) claimed that auditory types could be found as frequently among girls as among boys. Pfeiffer has retested pupils over a period of three years and found that there were no significant changes in the sensory image types to which the pupils were categorized.

7

Kimble has shown that individual differences in reaction times of various sense modalities can be measured. The reaction time-spans ranked decreasingly in this order: 1. Tactile, 2. Auditory, 3. Visual (163).

With regard to reaction capacities of different sensory image learners of foreign languages, Kemsies: experimentation has been the most valid and conclusive one in the study of the learning process. (162) The results of his studies showed a strong dominance of combined sensory modality in measuring effectiveness. Contrary to Lay (179) he believed, though, that the visual modality, with deprivation of the auditory, results in the lowest effectiveness.

Research on single and multiple channel communication in language teaching has been highly inadequate and contradictory. While the extensive research on film in America and England seems to have substantiated the assumption that multiple-channel input results in high efficiency of recall, observations and experiments in Austria and France (351) showed superiority of visual channels versus audio-visual for high school subjects. The investigator's own research on achievement in foreign language learning through audio and audio-visual aids has shown that the use of visual aids in the form of colored slides correlated with textbook lessons and tapes has increased the short-range retention of vocabulary and speech patterns, but has not effected an increase in the long-range retention. (13)This seems to prove that the audio aids in language learning have a stronger impact on the memory and increase the longrange retention comparatively more than visual aids. Yet these visual aids do increase the motivation of students

8

FRIC

learning a foreign language and should, therefore, be used in a way which does not deprive them of time which can be utilized better for audio drill. The constant availability of these visual aids a a "background aid" to the audio-teaching situation should be encouraged whenever and wherever possible. The character of this background aid should not be a sporadic one, but the aid should be effectively integrated into the le rning program so that the students will become accustomed to it.

>

D

Many studies on the comparative effectveness of pictorial versus audio and graphic (158a) (126) (217) (191) in attempting to show a superiority of certain channels over others, cannot prove any general superiority because the basic objective of these studies is to complex and fails to sort out the different variable factors in the individuals of the subject population. The summarical statistic 1 results on subjects with equated personality qualifications do not consider the fact that these result are composed of variable factors represented in different learning types. If Creore and Hanzeli (81) compared the effectiveness of the audio-lingual method supplemented by special color slides with that which made no use of the A-V slides their results also suffer from the disregard of learning types as much as Ebbinghaus! (162) memory studies already did. In both results several groups of individuals were pooled together and the complex result figures do not give us any indication as to the individual factors of individ-If Asher's study (2a) tries to demonstrate that ual learners. adults learn foreign languages better visually than auditorily

more the this generalization again does not prove any this the the representation of a certain habit-strength acquired by individuals systematically since the first day of their schooling when the graphic symbol became substantial in ideational as well as in mechanical processes. Kale and Grosslight's results (158a) as well as those of Bern (17) and Lumsdaine (191) as valuable as they are, again are based on the assumption that one single or even several modes of presentation are superior to another, or a number of others, in foreign language learn-This does not seem to be true in all instances because ing. every individual learner is different and we can only aspire to find similarities of individuals which can be subsumed under certain characteristics of groups of types of learners. Therefore, also studies like those of Pimsleur (245) would have to consider individual psychological and physiological abilities and individual preferences before any question of sequence and transfer can be considered. The necessity of efficient consideration of individual differences is also stressed by Marty (294a, p. 15). It is certainly highly probable that different media have common cues of generally similar stimulusstrength which justify their sequencing and their quantitatively pre-directed and uniform application in group-teaching or in mass-communication programs as it has proved valuable for example in the ASTP. However, if we want to develop highly effective programs for auto-instruction and remedial learning, we have to consider the characteristics and abilities of individual learners and establish basic principles for group

5 2

similarities. These then will enable us to aid the learner in those skills in which he is most deficient, and reward him in those skills in which he is strongest on the basis of results of prognostic tests. Since our primary objective in language teaching is the speaking ability, a study in the basic channels of communication-strength must therefore consider the role of different sense modalities in the process of acquiring speaking ability. For this reason future research should attempt to test, for example, not so much the ability to conceive pictorial images in order to reproduce the same or select them in multiple-choice type tests but rather to test in how far the sequenced and segmented pictoreme or grapheme can better facilitate phonemic, morphemic or syntagmemic production of the linguistic target units. In order to establish a fair learning and testing procedure in all sense modalities, we, therefore, will have to create strictly identical systematic learning and testing procedures of lingual as well as pictorial, graphic, and kinestetic learning units or "learnemes" (minimal learning units). This can be achieved by creating standard pictorial, graphic and kinestetic units represented in frames which are in their form-analysis correlated with the linguistic audio-lingual units.

11

III. OBJECTIVES:

ERIC

The objectives of this study were to develop and demonstrate: (1) An analysis of the three "sensory image types" (visual, auditory, and combined) in foreign language learning (German Conversation on the Elementary level). The Visual type was originally hypothesized as a pictorial-preference type only. The considerable effect of learning practices acquired through conventional teaching and normal school experience on visual conceptualization through graphic symbols led the investigator to include a fourth learning- and testing -mode in the investigation -- that of the Audio-Verbal Image type presentation. (SEE IV. A. 2)

(2) An analysis of the effectiveness of three individualized programs in relation to the four sensory image type: groups of learners. These programs were developed in Elementary German Conversation. (See p. 28 - 31, Lists 2A - 2D).

In addition to these two objectives, valuable conclusions on linguistic and extra-linguistic factors in language learning could also be found. (See V, p. 32, VI. p. 71 - 74, p. 121 - 123; and Retention Charts in Appendix).

IV, PROCEDURE

Ĩ.

A. General Method:

1. A General Questionnaire including questions on visual and auditory imagery (individual psycho-physiological abilities and preferences, learning modes and previous experiences in foreign language learning and contact with the culture of the foreign language learned) was designed and administered to fifty college freshmen and newly arrived students of the Air Force Language School located at Indiana University who had not had any previous training in any foreign language. The subject population of eightieen students was selected on the basis of the results of this questionnaire. (Equation in age, no foreign language training or experience, at least a C plus grade in English and Mathematics).

Whereas the protest for classification of the students in preference groups (Phase 1) was idministered to the whole group of 18 students (the 11 freshmen and seven Air Force language students) the post-test investigating the effectiveness of different learning modes on demonstrated student preferences (Phase 2) could only be administered to the 11 college freshmen because the Air Force language students were not available for the post-test procedure due to a number of scheduling difficulties. Since the teaching and testing procedure required a strict time schedule, a deviation from this schedule would have contaminated the procedure and invalidated results. 2. In preparation for the <u>Pre-test Procedure</u>, four series of foreign words were taught to the subjects using the following modes of presentation:

(a) Auditory presentation of the foreign word and its English equivalent, without visual presentation (audio-type)

(b) Auditory and pictorial presentation of the foreign words without explanation in English (pictorial type).

(c) Auditory presentation in the foreign language and in English plus presentation of the verbal image of the foreign word (verbal image type)

(d) Auditory presentation in the foreign language and in English combined with presentation of the object image as well as of the verbal image (audico-pictorial-verbal image type; combined). All four modes of presentation represent practical situations in the language learning process as they appear in modern audico-visual language teaching programs. Visual presentation was given with the same standardized pictorial teaching materials issued by the Audico-Visual Materials of the Austrian Ministry of Education (feltboard series) and specially developed sets of slides and graphic charts for teaching machines (developed by the principal investigator in the pilot project). Each vocabulary word was learned by the 4 instructional methods.

The Audio-Verbal Image type presentation, as described in (2c), was not included in the original plan of the project but was added in order to investigate the function which the verbal image stimulys has in memorisation and its relationship to pictorial image memorization within the assumed group of visual-type learners. The leading criterion in this connection was the question whether auditive preference types would be helped by the additional visual presentation of verbal images and whether visual types would demonstrate different results in full and partial retention when being presented with pictorial material and with verbal image material.

3. Testing Procedure of Phase 2 (Post -Test Procedure)

ERIC

During the two learning periods of Phase 2, each student was taught six dialogs (3 minutes each, with pauses and two repetitions). Each learning period lasted about a week and consisted of three sessions on alternate days. In this learning period each student was taught three different dialogs which

where presented three times each session. Throughout the learning period each student was taught a given dialog in only one mode. (cf. List 2A, 2B)

At the end of each learning period, the students were tested on the three dialogs they had learned during that period. Each test consisted of twelve questions with the following specifications:

(a) There were 3 questions on the content of the dialogs, one for each dialog.

- (b) There were 3 questions taken out of the dialog, requiring a response learned in the dialog, one per dialog.
- (c) There were 6 items containing parts of utterances, which served as cues for completion according to previously learned sequences, two per dialog.
- (d) The students were tested individually by a testing borad of two linguists and two language teachers. Each student was questioned by the instructor who had taught him during that learning period.
- (e) The questions pertaining to any given dialog were accompanied by the respective medium where in the student had learned the dialog; i.e. Ml -- pictorial presentation, M2 ---- graphic presentation; N3 ---- combined.
- (f) In order to eliminate the effect of sequence on memory (as an additional independent variable), the order of test questions was random (cf. tables on RETENTION SCORES ACHIEVED THROUGH DIFFERENT MODES OF INSTRUCTION, Students # 1 - 11.

From the twelve questions in each of the two tests four questions were asked in each of three different modes.

Example: Phase 2. Student 1

A. Student # 1 was taught Dialog I,1 (Am Bahnhof) in Mode 3 (= Combined) and tested on Dialog I,1 in M3 (i.e. questions # 3, 5, 7, 8 were asked with the accompanying use of both graphical and pictorial media).

- B. Student # 1 was taught Dialog I,2 (Im Ldbensmittelgeschäft) in MI (= Pictorial) and tested on Dialog I,2 in MI (i.e. questions #2, 6, 9, 10 were asked with the accompanying use of pictorial media).
- C. Student # 1 was taught Dialog I,3 (Im Restaurant) in M2 (= Graphic) and was tested on Dialog I,3 in M2 (i.e. questions # 1, 4, 11, 12 were asked with the accompnaying use of graphic media).

B. TESTING MATERIALS:

(a) Phase 1:

J

ERIC

In the first phase the testing materials consisted of 120 words denoting articles of the house, clothes, plants and parts of the body which are common in both cultures, that of the learner as well as that of the foreign language. The leading criterion in the choice of German vocabulary selected for the pilot project on sensory image types in foreign language learning was the appropriate structure of the word representing specific linguistic difficulties which were equated in all four groups of words. Frequency of

occurrence of these words in the vocabulary of a native speaker of German was no criterion; however due care was taken to translate these into commonly used English which would be known by all subjects.

b) Phase II:

ERIC

(1) (<u>Conversation Lessons I</u>) In the second phase a series of three "Jumentary German units (conversation lessons on every-day situations) were taught and reinforced through practice with the teaching machines---using the four instructional techniques outlined above. Each lesson was admiristered using each technique to counterbalance any differences in difficulty. Mastery of the language patterns taught in the Vocabulary Section and in Conversation Lessons I were evaluated.

(2) (<u>Conversation Lessons 2</u>) On the basis of the results of the pilot and pre-test procedures (A,B above), subjects were categorized into four groups of sensory image types: Auditory, Pictorial-Visual, Graphic-Visual (Verbal Image-Types), and Combined. These four categories were then exposed to three new learning units and were given a post-test on pronunciation, content, structural knowledge, and speaking ability (defining "mastery" of conversation). The only criterion of testing and measurement was the verbal response of langu-ge behavior. The results of the pretest precedure and of the post-test were then analyzed.

(3) In general, the following commonly recommended principles were observed in the development of the program:

(1) Gradual development of a segmented learning program (i.e. in the visual program as much as in the auditory program.

15

- 7-

(2) Learning procedure from part to the whole.

(3) Interruption element (working time on the teaching machine was limited to individual standard working periods).

(4) Reinforcement element (repetition in general three times with verbal and/or pictorial images).

(5) Element of realite (all pictorial stimuli as well as the auditory are resembling or identical to those in the original teaching situation in the classroom).

(6) The element of "construction" in preference to that of interpretation and recognition (vid. Skinner type versus early Pressey type teaching maphine).

(7) The motoz-element of verbal response.

18

Lν

C. DATA

The data for the proposed study consisted of scores derived from the pre- and post-tests indicated by errors classified according to the following language spheres:

1. Pronunciation (accuracy of phonetic and phonemic units)

2. Content (vocabulary, patterns)

3. Structural knowledge (appl:ed grammar)

4. Speaking ability (time criterion of response)

D. ANALYSIS OF DATA:

ERIC

A "treatment of x level" design was used in this study, the . major purpose of the design being to increase the precision of the comparison among programs by pre-selecting the treatment groups with reference to the presumed "sensory image" variab... Main effects of, and interaction among, sensory image types and their specially designed programs were examined by analysis of variance. The results of the pre-test procedure were analyzed on the basis of:

1) Individual word scores achieved in the 4 word tests

2) Test words ranked by degree of difficulty as represented in frequency of non-retention and partial retention

3) Frequency distribution of scores

19

4) Total scores, means and individual and group retention indices

5) Full and partial retention of words in the four quartile ranges of achievement (based on retention indices achieved by subjects in a selection of 88 words which showed an optimal degree of equation in linguistic difficulties.

I. Linguistic Criteria

A. Phonology

The pronunciation was evaluated on the basis of one mistake per one mispronounced phoneme. Certain substitutions, such as English "r" for German "r", "šp" for/sp/ and/št/ for/st/ were not considered erroneous.* A certain leeway was permitted for vowel*allophones, i.e. as long as a vowel did not contrastively belong to the territory of another German vowel, it was considered correct even if marginal. For example, the word /štil/ vs. /stil/.

B. Morphology

- 1. In cases of consistent wrong agreement only one mistake was counted, for instance feminine article and adjective before a non-feminine noun.
- 2. In cases of wrong selection of case inflection two mistakes were counted if differing selections were displayed. For instance, "eines neue Hut," i.e. genitive singular masculine of "ein" and accusative singular feminine of "neu" before the masculine "Hut."
- 3. If both mistakes occurred in the same word they were assigned only one point. For instance, "unter das Lampe:" wrong case after "unter" and wrong gender.
- 4. Incorrect application of tense was considered a mistake separate from the ones assigned to errors in morphological structu ture.

C. Syntax

Each mistake in word order was assigned one point. Most mistakes were made by reversing the sequence of sentence parts or by placing the separable particle in the wrong slot. Example: "Er steigt ein in den Autobus" instead of "Er steigt in den Autobus ein."

II. Contextual Criteria

The evaluation of contents was based on correctness with reference to the specific situation in the learning unit. Each test consisted of three such units. Nonnumber scores were assigned; the answer could only be either right or wrong. Slight intelligible deviations within the scope of the unit were considered correct. Example: "Herr Schulz möchte das kalb (instead of "uen Hof") Schen."

Note:

 \bigcirc

ERIC

Non-answers, structurally incomplete or unintelligible answers were assigned their mistake value on the following basis. The objective answer as laid down in the unit comprises the maximal number of mistakes; each phoneme constitutes one point as to morphological structure. Content has no gradation and accordingly was assigned one point wheth er wrong or absent.

In the charts referring to phase 2 (test 1 and 2), Morphology and Syntax are not separately listed but combined in one column called Structure.

Word List of Test I

(Audio-type)

WORD

· 1

1

ERIC Full fast Provided by ERIC SCORE VALUE

L. 2. 3. 4. 5. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Topf.4Mutze.4Schweif.4Riemen.4Stiel.4Wipfel.5Strauch.4Küche.4Brett.4Schneide.5
11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	Wand4 Rübe4 Kleid4 Baum3 Weiche4 Stirne6 Faden5 Rauch3 Wange4 Rasen5
21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30.	Kerze

Maximum Total Score Value...141

Word List of Test II

(Pictorial-Type)

Word

 \sum_{ν}

))

FUIL Fact Provided by ERIC

Score Value

a la statue

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Schornstein Schnalle Schwanz Schüssel Tüte Blüte Stiefel Spange Strumpf Sprosse	8555455455
11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	Korb Kralle Klingel Loch Deichsel Rock Riss Rodel Bauch Strickstrumpf	4563533439
21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30.	Zaun Schirm Fichte Kirche Hals Ast Kloss Sessel Mantel Fensterladen	947542476 <u>0</u>

Maximum Total Score Value 144

Word List of Test III

(Verbal Image-Type)

Word

:.

ļ)

FUILTRAKE Provided by EFIC

Score Value

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Stimme Braten Zug. Spritze Tblpel Schopf Abergla Riese. Strahl Gewissh	aut		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • •			• • • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • •	• • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • •	•		5636639458	
11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	Schlips Metzger Schöpfe Recke. Klampfe Zettel Gicht, Schwell Kringel Salbe.	3. r. ung le			• • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • •			• • • • •				• • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	5654644565	
21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30.	Wicht. Böller Wanze. Schlaue Karzer Streich Lücke. Schubfa Dorf . Hirsch	ch n. ach	• • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •		• • • • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • •	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.4554654644	

24

Test Words

Test IV (Audio-pictorial-Verbal Image Type)

Word

(لر =

Score Value

1.	Maserun	g	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•	7	
2.	2nof	•		Ì	-	-	-	•	-	-					-	•			-	•	•			3	
3	Absatz	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•		•	•	•			รั	
J.	Docht	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4	•	•	o	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	5 .	
ц.	Dach	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	*	•	æ	٠	4	
5		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	¢	•	•	•	•	•	¢	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	ר ב	
7	Terrer	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	٠	•	٠	٠	•	•	٠	٠	2	
(• 0	1 asone	•	•	•	•	٠	•	• •	• •	٠	٠	٠	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	•	۲	۰	•	•	4	
0.	naube.	a	•	•	•	4	•	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	●.	٠	•	•	۰	•	٠	٠	•	ø	•	4	
.	Batken	0	•	•	٠	۰	٠	٠	٠	۰	۰	٠	3	٠	•	٠	•	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	۵ ۵	
10.	Gabel.	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	5	
• •	D L . AA	_ 7																						0	
7T.	Pantollo	eT	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	۰	٠	•	••	*	•	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	o N	
12.	Jager.	•	•	•	• 1	•	٠	٠	e	۹	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	5	
לא.	Schnabel	Ł	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•	٠	•	0	۲	٠	•	•	٠	6	
ц.	Schnur	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•	••	٠	٠	•	٠	•	٠	4	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	4	
15.	Schleif	e	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	c	•	5	
16.	Rücken	•	•	•	•	0	•	c	•	٠	٠	٠	•		•	•	•		٠	•	٠	•	•	5	
17.	Kübel.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	5	
18.	Nüstern	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	7	
19.	Stengel	•		•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	6	
20.	Speiche	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	۲	•	•	6	
	-																								
21.	Span .	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4	
22.	Strampe	lh	09	e	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	.]	12	
23.	Kopf .	•	•	•	•	4	•	•	•	•	4	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3	
24.	Kranz.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•					•		•	•	•	•	•	5	
25.	Krug .	•	•		•	•	•		•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	Ŀ	
26.	Latz .			•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•			•	•	-	•	•	3	
27	Dolch.	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				•				-	-	Ĺ	
28	Rute -	-	-	-	•	*	-	•		•	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	*		-		•		1	
29	Rist	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	4	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		J.	
30-	Reifen	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ц Ц	
	1011011	•	•	e	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	/	

Total Score Value. . . 151

TEst I	Test II	Test III	Test IV
(26) Holzscheit (27) Oberschenkel	Score Va (1) Schornstein (20) Strickstrumpf (30) Fensterladen	alue: 8-12 (7) Aberglaubs (10) Gewissheit	(11) Pantoffel (22) Strampelhose
(16) Stirne (24) Kragen	Score Va (13) Klingel (29) Mantel	alue: 6-7 (2) Braten (4) Spritze (5) Ttlpel 12) Metzger (15) Klampfe (19) Kringel (25) Karzer (28) Schubfach	 (1) Maserung (9) Balken (13) Schnabel (18) Nüstern (19) Stengel (20) Speiche
	Score V	alue: 5	
 (6) Vipfel (10) Schneide (17) Faden (20) Rasen (21) Kerze (23) Wolke (23) Wolke (25) Sparre (28) Schürze (29) Messer (30) Schnauze 	 (2) Schnalle (3) Schwanz (4) Schüssel (6) Blüte (7) Stiefel (9) Strumpf (10) Sprosse (12) Kralle (15) Deichsel (23) Fichte (24) Kirche (28) Sessel 	<pre>(1) Stimme (9) Strahl (11) Schlips (13) SchUpfung (18) SchWelle (20) Salbe (22) BUller (23) Wanze (26) Streich</pre>	<pre>(3) Absatz (6) Teller (10) Gabel (12) Jäger (15) Schleife (16) Rücken (17) Kübel (24) Kranz (30) Reifen</pre>
	Score V	alue: 4	
<pre>(1) Topf (2) Mutze (3) Schweif (44) Riemen (5) Stiel (7) Strauch (8) Kuche (9) Brett (11) Vand (12) Rube (13) Kleid (15) Veiche (19) Wange (22) Blatt</pre>	(5) Tüte (8) Spange (11) Korb (18) Rikiel (220 Schirm: (25) Hals (27) Kloss	<pre>(8) Riese (14) Recke (16) Zettel (17) Gioht (21) Wicht (21) Wicht (24) Schlauch (27) Lücke (29) Dorf (30) Hirsch</pre>	 (4) Docht (7) Tasche (8) Haube (14) Schmur (21) Span (25) Krug (27) Dolch (28) Rute (29) Rist
	Score V	alue: 0-3	
(14) Eaum (18) Rauch	(14) Loch (16) Rock (17) Riss (19) Bauch (21) Zaun (26) Ast (2)	(3) Zug (6) Schopf	(2) Zopf (5) Dach (23) Kopf (26) Latz

Phonemic Score Values of Test Words

.

سينوست ويحراجا الك

į.

Measurement of Errors

200 a

ERIC

The purpose of phase 2 was to demonstrate a possible correlation between preferential learning modes shown in the results of both phases. Retention indices of the word tests (phase 1) and the tests on syntactical units thus were supposed to reveal a possible existence of sensory image types in learning. Since all subjects were exposed to all learning procedures applied, carry over of preference was ruled out. While, on the one hand, the application of the same method of evaluation was not only impossible, it was even unnecessary because the correlation was not based on numerical values. While the first four tests of phase 1 served to establish an existence of identifiable learning types, the only criterion of comparison was the performance of these learning types in memorizing syntactical units under identical conditions of learning. Therefore measurement in phase 2 takes into account only the true-false dichotomy as to pronunciation and contents; only structure (morphology and syntax and vocabulary selection) was evaluated along the criteria laid down in our chapter on evaluation of errors.

List No. 2A

APPENDIT

Conversation Lessons I. (Dialogues)

1. Am Bahnhof

Frau Schulz: Komm schon, der Zug fährt gleich ab. Wir müssen auf Bahnsteig 8.

Herr Schulz: Ja sofort, ich kaufe nur schnell die Karten. (am Schalter):Zwei Karten erster Klasse nach Frankfurt und ein Kind.

Das Fräulein: Wünschen Sie Rückfahrkarten, mein Herr?

Herr Schulz: Nein einfache, bitte. Wann fährt der Zug ab?

Das Fräulein: Der Schnellzug nach Frankfurt fährt um 20 Uhr 45 ab.

2. Im Lebensmittelgeschäft

Die Verkäuferin: Guten Tag, gnädige Frau, womit kann ich dienen?

Frau Schulz: Ich habe heute eine lange Liste. Warten Sie mal...,ja, zwei Pfund Mehl und ein Dutzend Eier.

Die Verkäuferin: Wünschen Sie die grossen oder die kleinen Eier?

Frau Schulz: Geben Sie mir die grossen Eier, bitte.

Die Verkäuferin: Was darf es sonst noch sein?

Frau Schulz: Ein Pfund Salz und ein Pfund Zucker, zwei Flaschen Milch und ein Brot.

3. Im Restaurant

J

ERIC

Herr Schulz: Herr Ober!

Ober: Bitte sehr, mein Herr.

Herr Schulz: Bitte bringen Sie mir eine Speisekarte.

28

Ober: Sofort bitte. Was wünschen Sie zu speisen? Vorspeisen, Suppe? Rindfleisch, Kalbfleisch, Schweinefleisch, oder gebratenes Huhn?

Frau Schulz: Ich möchte keine Suppe...

Herr Schulz: Gebratenes Huhn für meine Frau und Rinderbraten mit pommes frites.

Conversation Lessons II. (Dialogues)

1. Im Kaufhaus

- Kathrin: Der Hut passt gut zu deiner Jacke. Sieh mal, gefällt gir diese Halskette?
- Liesl: Ja, sie ist sehr hübsch, aber diese gefällt mir besser, oder nein,... diese ist die beste.
- Kathrin: Na, schön, da fällt mir ein,... Ohrringe brauche ich auch, und ein passendes Armband.

Verkäuferin: Bitte sehr gnädige Frau, wollen Sie diese probieren

Kathrin: Ja, lassen Sie mal sehen. Du, Liesl, ich glaube, die sind zu lang!

Liesl: Nein, die können gar nicht zu lang sein.

- 2. Ein Besuch am Bauernhof
 - Herr Huber: Guten Tag, Herr Schulz, sind Sie schon lange in Rossbach?
 - Herr Schulz: Guten Tag, Herr Huber. Wir sind vor einer Woche angekommen. Wir bleiben noch zwei Wochen. Wir möchten gern Ihren Hof sehen, könnten Sie uns ein bisschen herumführen?
 - Herr Huber: Aber gerne. Gehen wir zuerst in den Stall; da sehen Sie ein kleines Kälbchen.

Herr Schulz: Wie alt ist es?

Herr Huber: Es ist erst eine halbe Stunde alt.

3. Auf der Strasse

. 12

Frau Schulz: Ist das unser Autobus?

Herr Schulz: Ja komm Liesl, wir steigen in diesen Autobus ein.

Frau Schulz: Geh schneller, Hermann, Vater wartet schon bei der Lampe.

Hermann: Mutti, darf ich das Auto dort drüben sehen?

Frau Schulz: Das kannst du ein andres Mal tun. Gleich haben wir grünes Licht und der Autobus fährt los. Herr Schulz: Ihr müsst euch beeilen, wir haben nicht viel Zeit. Frau Schulz: Also los, geh nicht so langsam Hermann! List No. 2C

Test Questions to Conversation Lessons I

A. Contents Questions:

 $\delta \nu$

- 1) Q.: Was bringt der Ober? A.: Der Ober bringt eine Speisekarte.
- 2) Q.: Was kauft die Frau? A.: Die Frau kauft zwei Pfund Mehl und ein Dutzend Eier.
- 3) Q.: Was macht Herr Sculz am Bahnhof? A.: Herr Schulz kauft die Karten.
- B. Dialogue Responses:
 - 4) Q.: Was wünschen Sie zu speisen? A.: Ich möchte Rinderbraten mit pommes frites.
 - 5) Q.: Wünschen Sie Rückfahrkarten? A.: Nein, einfache bitte.
 - 6) Q.: Womit kann ich dienen? A.: Ich habe heute eine lange Liste.
- C. Completion Exercises:
 - 7) Q.: Der Schnellzug... A.: ...nach Frankfurt fährt um 20 Uhr 45 ab.
 - 8) Q.: Wir müssen... A.: ...auf Bahnsteig Acht.
 - 9) Q.: Was darf... A.: ...es sonst noch sein?
 - 10) Q.: Ein Pfund Salz... A.: ...und ein Pfund Zucker.
 - 11) Q.: Vorspeisen, Suppe?... A.: ...Rindfleisch, Kalbfleisch, Schweinefleisch cder gebratenes Huhn?
 - 12) Q.: Ich möchte... A.: ...keine Suppe.

List No. 2D

Test Questions to Conversation Lessons II

.

A. Contents Questions:

シン

ERIC

- 1) Q.: Was tut Kathrin? A.: Sie kauft Ohrringe und ein Armband.
- 2) Q.: Was möchte Herr Sculz tun? A.: Er möchte den Hof sehen.
- 3) Q,: Wo wartet Vater? A.: Er wartet unter der Lampe.

B. Dialogue Responses:

- 4) Q.: Sieh' mal, gefällt dir diese Halskette? A.: Ja, sie ist sehr hübsch.
- 5) Q.: Sind Sie schon lange in Rossbach? A.: Wir sind vor einer Woche angekommen.
- 6) Q.: Mutti, darf ich das Auto dort drüben sehen? A.: Das kannst du ein anderes Mal tun.
- C. Completion Exercises (answer represents first part of statement)
 - 7) Q.: ...da sehen Sie ein kleines Kälbchen. A.: Gehen wir zuerst in den Stall...
 - 8) Q.: ... Es ist erst eine halbe Stunde alt. A.: Wie alt ist es?
 - 9) Q.: ...oder nein, diese ist die beste. A.: Diese gefällt mir besser...
 - 10) Q.: ...aber diese gefällt mir besser. A.: Ja, sie ist sehr hübsch...
 - 11) Q.: ...wir haben nicht viel Zeit. A.: Ihr müsst euch beeilen...
 - 12) Q.: ...und der Autobus fährt los. A.: Gleich haben wir grünes Licht...

V. Results

1. Classification of Students into Preference Groups

Since the composite nature and degree of preference types in language learning ic in itself very difficult to determine and to delimit, the investigators decided to analyze the demonstration of preference according to two basic criteria:

(a) Analysis of percentage scores of full retention in each of the four learning modes of Phase 1:

In this analysis the chisquare value for each student was computed in order to determine the clarity of the preference.Preference groups of students were thus established in the pictorial, combined and no-preference areas, and designated "statistic" groups. Since some students, according to the statistical results demonstrated a secondary strong preference in another learning mode, our analysis was also made to incorporate these students into the preference groups designated "statistic mixed". It is interesting to note that such combinations of preferences only occurred for pictorial and combined. (cf. Classification Chart 1b)

(b) Analysis of percentage scores of full and partial retention in each of the four learning modes of Phase 2:

This alternate analysis seemed valuable because it investigates a possible additional factor in language leating important to the linguist in order to delimit psychological factors from linguistic factors, since the degree of retention may be influenced by elements of linguistic transfer inherent in the contrastive analysis and the sound and structure relationship of the mother tongue and the target language. A consideration of high partial retention figures (as opposed to full retention) seems to be especially important for the concept of remedial learning and for programming learning

32

materials as well as for the judgment of language aptitude within preference areas of sensory imagery. Groups so classified were designated "linguistic".

In classifying "linguistic" preferences as demonstrated in achievement scores of full retention and partial retention, the investigators proceeded similarly as in the classification of "statistic", "pure" and "mixed" groups. "Linguistic mixed" groups, therefore, incorporated students who demonstrated a secondary preference nearly as strong as the primary preference 1 (Cf. Classification Chart 2b).

2. General Evaluation of Results:

(a) Objective 1:

7 D

ERIC

The first step in the evaluation (Phase 1: Word Learning) was to find out whether a student demonstrates a significant preference in modes of learning. This is determined statistically by the method of mean square deviation:

$$X^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{|M - S_{i}|^{2}}{M}$$

M = mean score pro student S_j = the student's percentage scores N = number of such scores \widehat{r} . = mean square deviation

By comparing χ^2 to the table of standard deviations we determine the probability of the observed results occurring by chance. We observe from Qhisquare Analysis Chart I that seven out of eleven students tested have shown a clear preference for a specific learning mode since the statistical probability of their test scores varying accidentally to such degree is less than five percent. As a second step (Phase 2: Sentence learning) the same statistical analysis was made of the student scores in the three areas of pronunciation,

* Therefore a student could be classified into only one (if any) "pure" group, but conceivably into more than one "mixed" group.
structure and contents over the three learning modes. Here the results are not as striking, but nevertheless the statistics indicate that a preference for the learning modes is still significant, where in each of the three tested language areas (pronunciation, structure and contents) at least half of the students' scores vary such that the probability is less than five percent.

ERIC

The third step in the evaluation was to determine whether there was a correlation for a given student between his preference demonstrated in the First Phase, i.e. word learning and the preference demonstrated in any or all aspects of the second Phase.

As a basis for the establishment of the correlation coefficients, the student's achievement in the tests of Phase 1 and Phase 2 was reduced to percentage scores (Cf. Chart: Individual Performance as Percentage of Total Possible Scores, p. (20). Between a student's performance in Phase 1 and Phase 2 in a given mode (pictorial, graphic, combined) the correlation coefficient (r) was computed by use of the method of Totals of Raw Scores:

$$r = \frac{N\Sigma XY - (\Sigma X \Sigma Y)}{\sqrt{[N\Sigma X^2 - (\Sigma X)^2][N\Sigma Y^2 - (\Sigma Y)^2]}}$$

where N designates the number of students and X the individual scores in the Phase 1 test in the given mode, and Y the individual scores in the Phase 2 tests in the given modes.

Statistically the results indicate a lack of courclation between the preferences demonstrated in word learning and preferences in the three areas of sentence learning in Phase 2. We observe that the highest degree of correlation for preferences in learning mode (although statistically not significant) occurs between word learning and learning of structure in the overall picture. In the Pictorial and Combined Learning Modes the highest coefficients can be found in comparing word learning and structure and in the combined mode in comparing word learning with content as well.

(b) Objective 2:

ERIC

The objective in the evaluation of test results of Phases 1 and 2_(pretest and posttest) was to find out what degree of effectiveness the three teaching programs, applying different learning modes, would have on the four sensory image groups of learners. As the first step the investigator chose the analysis of covariance in order to study the effect of each learning mode on the different groups of preference learners. The results indicate that most subjects in all groups showed approximately the same achievement under the combined mode. This mode seems to be least discriminatory.

The effectiveness of the pictorial mode was significantly different in the various preference groups of learners highly favoring pictorial learning types. Significance was observed in the areas of structure and content.

Significance in structure learning could also be observed under the graphic mode for all preference groups. Visualization whether pictorial or grphic added to the audio stimulus (not replacing it L) seems to be beneficial (at least in German) for all proference learners.

The second step in the evaluation was to investigate the reaction of each preference group to the various learning modes on a comparative basis. ¹ The results indicate the pictorial preference learners show a a definite correlation of achievement under the audio and the pictorial mode. The effectiveness of the pictorial learning mode on the combined preference types was inconclusive, but a trend favoring the pictorial mode

¹ Whereas the analysis of covariance attempted to 'iscover how any given learning mode will influence students in general (belonging to different preference groups), the analysis of variance attempts to analyze the influence of a preferential learning mode on the students' demonstrated preference in sensory imagery.

could be observed.

ERIC

Since from our results we could not establish a group of purely graphic or audio preference learners, the results were inconclusive.

As the third step in the evaluation a cross analysis of audio results on different preference learners was made, i.e. that the achievement scores of all subjects under the audio learning mode were compared with those under the other modes in Phase 1 and 2. The results indicate no correlation between the audio mode and the pictorial er combined modes. These results, however, are not entirely conclusive.

The test results of Phase 1 indicate, however, that audio preference learners will not perform well under the graphic learning mode. The highest correlation coefficient between audio mode in Phase 1 and pictorial mode in Phase 2 was found in the area of structure.

A detailed discussion of the results of this investigation with the accompanying charts together with conclusions for testing and teaching procedures will follow under VI. Discussion.

Chart Ia

a a da a su a su a su a su a su a su a s			
STUDENT	PHASE 1	PHASE 2	GEN
1	C (+P)	`G (+C)	C
2	C (+G + A + P)	P (+C)	С
3	P (+G + A)	C	
4	P (+C + A)	P (+G + C)	P
5	P (+A + C + G)	P (+C)	Р
6	C (+ P)	G (+P)	(P)
7	P (+ C + G)	G (+C)	G
.8	G (+ P + C)	G (+ P)	G
9	C (+ P)	C (+ P)	C (+P)
10	C (+P)	C (+G)	C
11	C (+ P)	G (+ C)	C

Classification of Sensory Image Types (Preferences)

ي ا

ERIC."

Churt IB

ERRE Multuse Poolstate by ERIC

Classification of Students into Preference Groups

DESI	GNATION OF GROUP	MODE	STUDENT No.
1a	STATISTIC PURE	Pictorial Combined No Preference	3, 4, 7 7, 6, 9 2, 5, 8
1b	STATISTIC MIXED	Pictorial Combined No Preferen ce	3, 4, 7, 10, 11 1, 6, 9, 10, 11 2, 5, 8
2a	LINGUISTIC PURE	Pictorial Combined No Preference	3, 4, 7, 9, 10 1, 11 2, 5, 6, 8
2Ъ	LINGUISTIC MIXED	Pictorial Graphic Combined No Preference	1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 11 ? 1, 6, 10, 11 2, 5, 6, 8

.

Group I

3. Individual Evaluation of Tests I - IV (Phase 1)

Student No. 1

General Analysis:

The number of non-retained words on the four tests shows that the student attained the best results in the verbal-image test (III) and in the combined test while non-retention in the audio and the pictorial tests was considerably higher. The fact that test III contained the smallest number of non-retained words and at the same time the largest number of fully retained words (while the number of faultily reproduced words corresponded to those of the audio and the combined test) leads to the assumption that the student could be classified as a preferential verbal-image learner.

Student No. 2

ERIC

The student clearly showed the best results in the combined test (IV) with the highest number of retained words and the lowest number of non-retained words. Both the audio and the verbal image test presented the greatest difficulty for the student as seen in the overall picture, while he showed better results in the pictorial image test which together with the results shown in the last (combined) test indicates rather clearly that this student can be classified as a preferential object-image learner. The fact that the student also had most mistakes in the test with the best results was balanced through the fact that he also achieved the highest number of correctly reproduced words in the same test.

Student Nos 3

5

ERIC

As the number of non-retained words shows, this student had considerable more difficulties in the first test than in the other three. It should be noted that in this test he either reproduced the words correctly or did not retain them at all, no incorrect reproductions were given. Among the other three tests the one with the graphic demonstrations shows the highest number of retention and the lowest number of non-retained words. The number of mistakes in this test was the lowest as compared with tests No. 2 and No. 4. The highest number of mistakes was found in test No. 4 (combined). It may be assumed that this student who did best in the graphic test was disturbed through the combination of multi-sensory stimuli as indicated in the equally high frequency of incorrect answers compared with correct answers.

Student No. 4

It is striking that this student in the selection of sample words showed exactly the same results in the first three tests with respect to the number of retained, non-retained and incorrectly reproduced words. In the last test he was considerably more successful than in the three others. In each of these the number of non-retained words was twice as large as in the combined test, while in the latter the number of retained words was twice as large as in each of the first three tests. The last test though contains also the highest number of non-retained words but the fact that the number of non-retained words in the first three tests was extremely high compared with the results achieved by other students seems to indicate that this student faces extraordinary general difficulties in the

learning of a foreign language. So the result in test No. 4 indicates that he relies to a large extent on a combined demonstration of multi-sensory stimuli.

Student No. 5

 $\left(\right)$

This student shows the best results in test N o. 4 (with only three non-retained words but twelve retained words) and the poorest results in test No. 1 (with 15 non-retained words and five retained). In general it can be assumed that the visual demonsträtion facilitated learning and the retention while the audio-type produced considerable obstacles. Not only was the number of non-retained words much higher in the audio test than in the others but the number of incorrectly reproduced words was the lowest in comparison to the other tests. The total number of fully and partially retained sample words ranked in the following order: 19 (test N o. 4), 16 (No. 2), 13 (N o. 3), and 7 (No. 1). This result seems to indicate that the pictorial presentation did facilitate the retention of words in general but did not help much in retaining the words correctly as the high number of mistakes in this test shows. The ration of retained words to incorrectly reproduced words was much higher in test No. 3 than in test No. 4. The graphic demonstration therefore caused superior respondent behavior than the combined stimuli of test No. 4 from good result of which alone a reliable analysis as to which factors caused this result could not be drawn. The fact that the number of retained words in test No. 4 was considerably higher than that of test No. 3 indicates that this student was not hampered by the combined form of presentation.

Student No. 6

This student shows gradual improvement from the first test to the last as can be seen in the increasing number of retained and decreasing number of non-retained words. The number of incorrectly reproduced words however varies inconsistently. A..general analysis of cest results therefore is inconclusive and this student may represent a type of learner who through his learning experience as such can progress independently of any predominant sensory-image type of learning personality.

Student No. 7

ERIC

In analysing the student's progress from the audio test to the two visual tests we can say that the total number of retained words (fully and partially) increased in the two visual tests. When comparing the two visual tests it seems that the graphic demonstration facilitated the correct retention of sample words more than the pictorial demonstration. This can be seen from the fact that in test No. 3 the number of retained words was higher than in test No. 2 while at the same time the number of incorrectly reproduced words decreased. In the mixed demonstration the superior result may have been caused by the preference for visual type demonstration.

Group I

Individual Evaluation - Phase 1 (Retention of Vocabulary)

Student No. 1

50

ERIC

Results of Tests I - IV

The results of the tests show that the student tends more to the visual type of learner than to the audio-type. In all tests containing the visual element he was more successful than in the audio-type test. The number of retained words increased gradually while the development of the number of non-retained words does not show the same consistency. Although in the granhic test the number of retained words is relatively high, the number of non-retained words in the same test is much higher than in the other two types of vidual (ardio-visual) presentations. Excluding the combined test, in an evalnation of the combined numbers of fully and partially retained words the best result can be observed in the pictorial test. When analyzing the results from a different angle it can be seen that the st dent improved his retention capacity in the second test as compared to the first but fell back in the third test which like the second one was also visual but used only graphic symbolization. This leads to the conclusion that the student is more at ease when exposed to pictorial rather than to graphic presentation and faces considerable difficulties in the audio-type learning situation. The combined test resulted in an increase of incorrectly reproduced words but more positive influence on the full retention,

(Retention of Vocabulary)

Student No. 2

ERIC

Results of Tests I - IV

This student achieved by far the best results in the audio test where the number of non-retained words equals that of retained words (no word was reprod ced incorrectly.) The pictorial demonstration helped him to reduce the number of non-retained words to a large extent but at the same time produced an extremely high number of incerrectreproductions. The graphic test showed the greatest number of non-retention scores. In fact in this test the ratio of non-retained words to faulty reproductions was reversed in comparison to the pictorial test. Therefore obviously of the two given visual presentations the pictorial type was more helpful to the student than the graphic type. In the combined test the scores of retention, non-retention and incorrect reproduction were fairly well balanced so that it is difficult to draw valid conclusions from this result. It has to be noted though, although the student achieved a higher total number of full and partial retention scores combined, he could not attain the high percentage of retention obtained in the audio test (his apparent preference type).

Þ

(Retention of Vocabulary)

Student No. 3

\$ D

))

ERIC

Results of Tests I - IV

The student showed regular improvement in the number of incorrectly reproduced words from the first to the last test, while at the same time the number of non-retained words increased. The retention scores varied, being the lowest in the audio test and the highest in the <u>pictorial</u> In the <u>combined test</u> the retention score was very test. low again. The student was most successful in the pictorial and in the graphic test, which seems to indicate that she shows a preference for visual presentation. The pictorial presentation seems to have helped the student most in concept forming for reproduction. The graphic demonstration also facilitated her learning although not to the same extent while the combined presentation of all three media as it seems confused the student or at least hampered her retention so that even the number of incorrectly reproduced words was extremely low in addition to the low number of fully retained words.

Visual-graphic presentation however seems to lie outside the student's visual retention capacity. From this it may be concluded that the good result of the <u>combined</u> <u>test</u> was mainly caused by the combination of audio and pictorial stimuli, while the graphic element in this test was of minor importance.

46

*

(Retention of Vacabulary)

Student No. 4

and the second s

))

0

ERIC

Results of Tests I - IV

The retention scores of this student show that she was able to gove a high number of correct responses in the first two and in the last test while the result of the graphic test, as compared with the others, was extremely poor, Graphic demonstration resulted in high non-retention (15 out of 22 items) and no partial retention. The result seems to reveal that the student had to rely on her recollection of the graphic image of the word in order to memorize it and since she had to overcome great difficulties in this respect the number of correctly retained words was very low. In the pictorial test the number of fully retained words was very high whereas only two out of twenty-two sample words were reproduced incorrectly. The pictorial image therefore seems to facilitate her memorization in such a way that the correct sounds of the word are associated with the object shown in the picture. The results of the audio test show that the purely acoustical stimuli already facilitate storing and retention of information material. When comparing the audio test with the pictorial test we can however see that the visual-pictorial presentation meets the student's greatest capacity (or combinatory efficiency).

(Retention of Vocabulary)

Student No. 5

ERIC

Results of Test I - IV

The student shows almost the same preference scale in the different modes of presentation. He was most successful in test No. 2 and No. 4 (pictorial and combined test) and showed rather good results in test No. 1 while in the graphic test the number of fully retained words was rather low and the number of faulty reproductions was the highest in this test as compared to the others. The number of non-retained words was roughly the same in all four tests. The student seems to be preferably pictorial-object oriented. The combined presentation resulted in the same scores as the pictorial and it can be assumed that the relatively high capacity shown in the audio and pictorial tests was also prevalent in the results of the combined test. This seems to indicate that the capacity described was strong enough to suppress the negative influence of the graphic element in the combined stimulation.

(Retention of Vocabulary)

Student No. 6

12

ERIC

Results of Tests I - IV

A conclusive analysis of the results demonstrated is extremely difficult. Whereas the full retention scores were equal in the pictorial graphic and combined tests the non-retention scores vary significantly and so do the scores of partial retention. The number of non-retained words and the number of incorrectly reproduced wordes were inversely correlated. Thus in the pictorial test, seven out of twenty-two sample words were not retained but five words were reproduced with mistakes, while in the graphic test the ratio was 11 (not retained words) to 1 with mistakes. One could tentatively assume that this student tends more towards the type of pictorial-image learner than to the graphic type. The results of the combined test are not conclusive enough to strengthen this view satisfactorily. The fact that in the combined test the number of incorrectly reproduced words was so high, can be interpreted in different ways. The student might have been irritated by the simultaneous presentation of audio and pictorial plus graphic stimuli since he had shown considerable weakness in avoiding mistakes already in the audio and pictorial test so that a minimum capacity to overcome irrating influences was not reached. On the other hand we know from exper-

ience that testing conditions cause a certain amount of non-reaction out of cautiousness. This may, as in this case, be reduced or increased depending on the existence of preferential type of stimuli. Thus the high number of mistakes in the pictorial test and the low number in the graphic test (which seemingly was less preferable to the capability of this particular student than the pictorial one) could be explained.

50

(Retention of Vocablary)

Student No. 7

ERIC

<u>Results of Tests I - IV</u>

This student shows a clear preference for the visual type demonstration. In the pictorial and the graphic test, the highest number of fully retained words could be achieved. The result of the pictorial test was excellent because only three words were not retained and no words were reproduced incorrectly. In contrast, in the <u>audio test</u> only five words out of twenty-two were fully retained but thirteen were not retained and four words were reproduced incorrectly. It is interesting to note that the result in the combined test was almost equally poor and it can be assumed that the complexity of the multi-sensory stimulation confused the student and caused the poor result. If we compare the results of this student with those of student No. 5 (Group II) we might hypothesize that a high result in the combined test can only be achieved when an optimal preference in the pictorial-image type is sufficiently supported by a considerable strength in the audio S-R function (the amount of which has to be found yet).

(Retention for Vocabulary)

Student No. 8

ERIC

Results of Tests I - IV

No clear preference for a particular type of presentation can be established on the basis of test results. Among the retention and non-retention scores only slight variations could be observed. With regard to retention, though, better results were achieved in the visual and combined tests. The low number of fully retained words. in the audio test contrasted with a high number of faulty reproductions while the number of faulty reproductions in the other tests was very low (being lowest in the graphic test). It may be concluded that the absence of any visual complement in the stimulation in the first test has caused the student a considerable amount of anxiety resulting in many incorrect reproductions. Tentatively it can be said that the student shows a tendency towards visual stimulation preference which may have been prevalent also in the student's response in the combined test.

(Retention of Vocabulary)

Student No. 9

3

ERIC

This student shows the best results in the pictorial test and in comparison to the other tests, relatively good results in the audio test. In the graphic test, on the other hand, an extremely high degree of nonretention could be observed. This may lead to the conclusion that his visual preference is exclusively pictorial image oriented and strongly supported by an audion S-R function but not at all by a strength in memorizing verbal images. When analyzing the results of the combined test one again could assume that the presence of the graphic symbolization reduced his retention capacity as can be seen in the extremely high number of incorrect reproductions in the combined test. The student's preference for pictorial and audio modes of instruction encouraged reproduction even if he was not absolutely firm in his memorization of sound patterns so that the score of partially retained words was considerably higher than in the graphic test.

(Retention of Vocabulary)

Student No. 10

ERIC

Results of Tests I - IV

In comparing the test results of this student a clear contrast can be observed between the pictorial and the combined test scores on the one hand and the graphic and <u>audio</u> scores on the other hand. In the pictorial test he retained 15 out of 22 words as opposed to only four non-retained words; this result was reflected in the ratio of 13 retained to 3 non-retained words in the combined test. The audio test however resulted in only 5 retained words versus 15 non-retained words and a low number of faulty reproducti as (only two words). In the graphic test five out of twenty words were partially retained while the number of non-retained words was lower than in the audio test, whereas the retention score in the graphic test showed only two more points than that of the audio test. In spite of the fact that in the combined test the student was almost as successful in retention as in the pictorial test he yet produced twice as many faulty reproductions under combined conditions.

(Retention of Vocabulary)

Student No. 11

ERIC

Results of Tests I - IV

The best results achieved by this student canbe found in the conbined test with a score of 19 fully retained words and only one incorrect reproduction. This good result can be associated with the high retention (17) and low non-retention score (4) in the <u>pictorail test</u> which again showed only one incorrect reproduction. The student definitely was not audio-oriented as can be concluded from the high number of non-retained words in the audio test. But he also cannot be classified as a graphic image type since the non-retention score in the <u>*Araphic* test</u> was still very high as compared to that in the <u>pictorial test</u>. In general, the additional observation is worth mentioning that the student seems to be very cautious and restrained in his reproduction behavior when he is absolutely convinced of the correctness of his memorization. This notion is indicated by the unusually low number of partially retained words to be found in all four tests (never higher than 2).

B) Achievement Groups *

- 6 -

Middle Group:

It is notable that the only significant correlation between audio performance in word learning and other modes and learning areas is negative. There is significant negative correlation throughout the different leaning disciplines in sentence learning between the audio performance and the pictorial and graphic performance. The most significant negative correlation, however, occurs between audio and combined modes in word learning. This seems to indicate that the average learner who has an audio preference must concentrate on the audio mode in order to learn. His performance is considerably hampered by the addition of both pictorial and graphic media in learning words, and by the addition of either medium in learning longer patterns and sentences. It is further indicated that those who have little audio preference tend to do better with the addition of one of these other media (pictorial or graphic, depending on the individual preference) but are less predictable under the combined mode.

Bottom Group:

Here the correlation is also quite varied. There is a very high positive correlation between word learning under the audio and pictorial modes. The indication is that the students who are poor in audio face little better with the addition of pictorial stimuli. In the sentence learning areas of Phase 2, however, the pictorial performance is not so predictable: in this Phase the graphic coefficient is positive and significant in both structure and pronunciation, the conclusion being that the addition of the graphic stimulus alone is of little aid to the student whose audio performance is poor. Here, however, in the combined mode the correlation to the audio is significantly negative. This would seem to indicate that the student whose audio performance in word learning is poor will face considerably better in learning patterns and sentences with the aid of both additional media.

When it comes to the mere retention of content, this prediction is not certain. The results in the area of content, however, do not give any reliable degree of predictability. Further research will have to strictly separate facts of content which are Obviously influenced by ideational learning processes from habit-formed mechanical speech performance. This does not mean that a certain number of these ideational processes, such as analogy and association in language learning, can not be trained to some extent; however, the performance shown in this experiment was not subsequent to an elaborate training program in these abilities and thus only measured performance under a certain mode in an ad hoc approach. Since we are here dealing with underachievers, it can quite safely be assumed that mere retention of content was to some extent influenced by mental capacity or aptitude and verbal intelligence. The interesting conclusion which may be made, however, is A one which will interest the programmer of remedial materials:

The combined mode does not seem to facilitate retention of content for underachievers in the audio mode, or in other words, additional stimuli for learning types weak in audio comprehension and oral reproduction reduce memorization ability in the higher processes of verbal thinking.

* For Top Group see p. 80

ERIC

RAW SCORES

تر ا

AND PERCENTAGE SCORES

PRIMARY DATA

•

student	AUDIO	PICTORIAL	GRAPHIC	COMBINED
#1	63	86	77	118
# 2	67	67	78	92
# 3	72	9 0	83	50
# 4	102	124	48	113
# 5	81	86	78	88
# 6	78	94	85	116
# 7	58	119	73	79
# 8	68	96	93	91
# 9	66	85	54	103
# 10	51	103	72	118
# 11	58	118	95	132
MAX.	14 A	որի	152	151

h

RAW SCORES : WORD LEARNING (PHASE 1)

.

 $\{1\}$

the polar contacts and the tack

RA W SCORES : PRONUNCIATION (PHASE 2)

# 2	53	69	98	18	64	58	
# 3	0	26	25	0	66	46	
# L	92	71	102	79	95	70	
#5	-	7 0	6 549	55		85	
#6	78	71	102	78	80	70	
# 7	39	71	100	78	94	70	
# 8	93	17	67	78	80	26	
# 9	38	70	31	կկ	89	35	
# 10	39	71	151	76	93	86	
# 11	51	71	105	78	95	63	
Max.	93	71	102	79	95	86	

.

) ?

ERIC

STUDENT	PICTOR	IAL	GRAP	HIC	COMBI	NED	
#1	าม	16	25	27	23	15	
# 2	15	23	27	7	16	18	
# 3	0	10	8	(20	18	
# 4	32	30	32	35	29	26	
# 5		29	-	20	digas	27	
#6	26	29	32	36	25	25	
# 7	10	26	32	35	29	26	
# 8	32	20	28	24	20	12	
# 9	11	28	11	17	26	13	
# 10	11	86	19	36	28	31	
# 11	20	3 0	3 0	35	29	24	
MAX.	32	30	32	36	29	35	

RAW SCORES

STRUCTURE (PHASE 2)

•

D.

· ·

ERRE Austral Provided by EBC

118

22:22:44

STUDE	ent f	TOTO	RIAL	GRAI	PHIC	COMB	INED
# 1	. 9	0	75	75	100	75	50
# 2	; r	SO S	100	100	25	50	75
# 3	3	0	25	25	0	50	50
# 1	. 10	00	100	100	100	100	75
# 5	5 -		100	****	75		100
# 0	S 7	15	100	100	100	75	75
# 7	7 1	25	100	100	100	100	75
# 8	3 10	00	25	50	100	7 5	25
# 9) (25	100	50	50	100	50
# 1	ର ସ	25	100	190	100	100	100
# 1	17.	15	100	170	100	100	100

RAW SCORES

100

100

CONTENT (PHASE 2)

Max.

*

ト ノ

100

100 100

INDIVIDUAL FERFORMANCE AS FERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POSSIBLE SCORES ACHIEVED IN THE FOUR TESTS OF PHASE 1 AN THE TESTS OF PHASE 2

ş

INO

Ħ	10	\$	60	7	6	ખ	5-	w	N	د م		TUD	
H1.0	36.1	66.7. 3	47.3)	11.2 .	55.4 3	55.5	72.3	. 8 °TS .	Ar6.80	4 ° T(T	Audio	MOR	
.95*0	11.5	9 .0	66. 6	L 192 . 7	ંસ્ટ્રેટ	59.8 ·	. 96-3	82,5:	ರ್ಷ.6	5. 6	Pict.	D LI ARNI	PHASE
62.6	17.4	. 35.5	·61.3	48,1)	.55.9	51. 3	32.6 .	.9°115.	52.3	5 0.6	Graph	NG	1 (Perce)
.87.4	.78.2	.68.3	60.3	y5.3,7	8-94	52.7	:211.9° (33.1.	60 8,	78.3	Comb.		at fictre
77.L19	70.967	69.725	61.971	70.967	91.935	98, حوال	99.462	18.309	77.086	64.280	Pict.	PRON	3)
99.367	97.611	43.044	82.210	95.386	99.367	69.620	100.000	12.254	59.431	93.484	Graph	UNCIATIC	
85.627	276°96	67.190	57.221	90.171	82.802	98.836	90.697	184.19	67.405	62.444	Comb.	N	PF
81.250	60.520	62.187	66.666	62.291	88,950	96.667	100.000	16.666	61.770	48.541	Fict.	STRUC	IASE 2 (F
95.486	79.687	40.798	77.083	98.611	100.000	55.555	98.611	12.500	45.659	76.562	Graph.	TURE	ercentag
84.285	92.561	63.399	51.625	87.1h2	78.817	77.142	87 . 1/2	60.197	53.300	61.083	Comb.		es)
87.500	62.500	62.500	62.500	62,500	87.500	100.000	100.000	12.500	75.000	62.500	Pict.	8	
100.000	100.000	50.000	75.000	100.000	100.000	75.000	100.000	12.500	62.500	87.500	Graph.	NTENT	
100.00	100.000	75.000	50.000	87.500	75.000	100,000	87.500	50.000	62.500	62.500	Comb.		

•

97

,

ERIC. Pruit from Provided by ERIC

150

Full and Partial Retention in Sentence Learning

the
Four
Quarti le
Range s
0 F
Achievement

	First Q (0-2	uartile 5%)*	Second (26-	Quartile 50%)	Third ((51-	Juar ti le 75系)	Four th (76-	Quar tile 100%)
Sense Mode 11 ty	Full Rete	Fartial ntion **)	Full Rete	Partial ntion	Full Ret	Partial ention	Full Rete	Partial ntion
PI CTORI AL	18.2	81.8	36 .4	18.2	36 . 4	0	9 . 1	0
GRAPEI C	27.3	54.5	27.3	45 . 5	27.3	0	18,2	0
COMBINED	18.2	72.7	36.4	9.1	27.3	18.2	18,2	0

*) This column represents achievement in retention and partial retention of (0-25%) subject matter.

**) Percents of student population.

 $\langle \rangle$

EREC Prailbast Provided by ERC See: Explanatory Note on p. 122

A high correlation coefficient would mean that, fiven a quartile there Note: is little variance in the size of the student population in that quartile between achievement in word learning and in sentence learning under the given mode and degree of retention. He observe that there is a high correlation in full retention under the combined mode and in partial retention under the pictorial mode. In the graphic mode there is fair correlation of percentages of student population in both full and partial retention. An analysis of the actual breakdown shows that this correlation is weakened by a tendency in the student population to be more equally distributed among the first and second (and in full retention, the third) q wartiles in sentence learning than in word learning. In partial retention under the combined mode the correlation of breakdown in the student population is also fair but not significant. Here there is a notable shift of a large segment of the population from the second to the first quartile as the population passes from word learning to sentence learning. This would seem to indicate that the lower average students under the combined mode had considerably more difficulty with sentence learning than with word learning. In full retention, pictorial mode, the correlation is poor. An examination of the distribution of the population here shows a marked emigration from the first and second quartiles into the second and third quartiles respectively. It seems, therefore, that more students found sentence learning easier than word learning under the pictorial mode.

In conclusion it should be mentioned that under the graphic and combined modes there was a considerable increase in full retention of sentences not ceable in the fourth q uartile range of student achievement.

122

52

٨

レレ

ERIC

I	O
1	2
	H
	Ō
ł	مير
ł	P
Ī	.
l	Q
ł	p
1	0
I	ň
I	
Į	F
ł	1
ł	مس
ł	~
ļ	H
ł	ď.
I	
Į	2
l	H
ł	ġ.
ł	-in
I	Ø
ł	Pres.
ł	5
l	Ø
I	st.
ł	H
ł	4
I	j.i.
ļ	2
l	P
ļ	٠ لىچ
Î	
	ه
I	3
l	ББ
I	ò
	0
ł	~1
	لسز
I	_
	2
ł	B.
I	
I	2

ß .

در دو معقبلیات محکم المحکول دید. ا

6.0.

المتطور والعيد المتراكب العار

Achieved by Student Populations in Four Quartile Ranges.

Modes	Correlation Coeffici	.ents
	Full Retention	Partial Retention
PICTORIAL	+ 0,429	+ 0.994
GRAPHI C	+ 0.694	+ 0.765
OC MBI NED	666°0 +	+ 0.648

3

•••

,'

in an

r,

D

()

5.4

OVERALL SCORES IN PHASE 1 GENERAL ACHIEVEMENT SCORES

(1) In Numerical Order:

STUDENT	PER CENT
1	58,301662
2	51.572110
3	50.320145
4	66.216225
5	56。690737
6	63,334790
7	56,029455
8	59.085680
9	52.393627
10	58,303022
11	68.249100

(2) By Achievement (houps:

STUDENT	PER CENT
3	50,320145
2	51.572110
9	52,393627
7	56.029455
5	56.690737
1	58.301662
10	5B . 303022
8	159.085680
6	63.334790
4	66.216225
11	67 19100
	/

ALT.

ERIC Full fact Provided Byr ERIC

h and

À

1

APPENDIX

ţ

Anonement Materia company of the second s

لملتند

·····

AD THE ADDRESS OF THE

 \mathbb{O}

ERIC

dition biom

The second phase included two series of conversation lessons, each composed of three units which were learned through different modes of instruction:

1. Auditory and pictorial presentation of the utterances (Pictorial-Type);

ERIC

2. Auditory and graphic presentation of the foreign phrases (Verbal Image-Type);

3. Auditory and graphic presentation of the foreign utterances combined with presentation of pictorial symbols for each syntactical unit (questions, answers, statements and mands) (Audio-Pictorail-Verbal Image-Type, or Combined).

A purely auditory presentation of the foreign utterances and their English equivalents wag not included in the teaching modes applied in the second phase because only one subject out of eighteen showed a clear preference for the auditory presentation in the first phase of the experiment. Furthermore, the percentage of the total number of subject poplation achieving full or partial retention of more than 50% of subject matter in the Audio-Type presentation was zero, or in other words, half of the subjects were not able to achieve more than 25% of subject matter retention, while the other half of the subjects were not able to exceed the 50% level of subject matter retention. In evaluation of the partial retention scores, we can see that, while 83% of all students could partially retain 25% of the subject matter, only 17% of the student population were able to reach the 50% level, and none of the students

The second phase included two series of conversation lessons, each composed of three units which were learned through different modes of instruction:

1. Auditory and pictorial presentation of the utterances (Pictorial-Type);

2. Auditory and graphic presentation of the foreign phrases (Verbal Image-Type);

3. Auditory and graphic presentation of the foreign utterances combined with presentation of pictorial symbols for each syntactical unit (questions, answers, statements and mands) (Audio-Pictorail-Verbal Image-Type, or Combined).

A purely auditory presentation of the foreign utterances and their English equivalents was not included in the teaching modes applied in the second phase because only one subject out of eighteen showed a clear preference for the auditory presentation in the first phase of the experiment. Furthermore, the percentage of the total number of subject poplation achieving full or partial retention of more than 50% of subject matter in the Audio-Type presentation was zero, or in other words, half of the subjects were not able to achieve more than 25% of subject matter retention, while the other half of the subjects were not able to exceed the 50% level of subject matter retention. In evaluation of the partial retention scores, we can see that, while 83% of all students could partially retain 25% of the subject matter, only 17% of the student population were able to reach the 50% level, and none of the students

56

ERIC
were able to go beyond that mark.

[]

ERIC

This result led the investigator to the asumption that the retention of <u>syntactical units</u> achieved through auditory presentation would show even poorer results which would be less valuable in terms of comparative analysis than the retention of words. On the basis of this assumption and in consideration of the unfeasibility of a learning procedure which would in all its stages exclusively be based on this one type of presentation, it was decided to omit this type of test in the second phase of the experiment.

Student No. 1

<u>Test I</u>

Retention scores in test I show that the student demonstrated a preference for the combination type of learning in pronunciation and for the graphic type in structural retention. In both pronunciation and structure the retention scores were lowest in the pictorial type of learning mode. The results of content retention show no difference in the graphic and combined learning situations but are considerably lower in the pictorial mode.

<u>Test II</u>

In the second test the highest retention scores in pronunciation were achieved through the graphic demonstration while there was no significant difference of retention in the pictorial and combined situations in retention of structure. Structural retention was highest in the graphic situation and the pictorial mode (no significant difference). Performance in pronunciation, structure and content ranked in the same order: Graphic, Pictorial, Combined. Content retention was highest under the graphic learning mode and lowest in the combined situation.

General Conclusions

1. Pronunciation

ERIC

The results of Test I show a significant preference for the combined method of presentation, with a strong

trend towards the graphic image type, while in Test II the retention was optimal under the graphic demonstration and followed by the pictorial but significantly lowere under combined. The student shows a combination type of combined and graphic image preference.

2. Structure

In both tests the highest retention scores were achieved under verbal image type stimulation while a trend could be perceived under combined presentation of stimuli.

3. Content

ERIC

Retention of contents showed optimal scores in the graphic presentation of both tests while there was a trend towards combined in Test I and towards pictorial in Test II. The results of phase 1 and 2 compared show that in learning vocabulary the student's memorization is obviously assisted by pictorial stimuli while the learning of the sound patterns of structural units is facilitated by the graphic element and to a lesser degree by the combined and pictorial presentation of stimuli.

Student No. 1

2

ERIC

Test I and II

Retention scores in Test I did not show any significant difference in either pronunciation, structure or content. As far as a reflection of differentiation in preference can be deduced at all from the retention scores under combined presentation in Test II, a slight trend towards pictorial and graphical can be assumed.

General Conclusions

It has to be noted that this student is an extremely gifted learner, and her achievement in retaining vocabulary rates second best in the first phase of the experiment. The total of the student's retention scores in learning syntactical units also reveals unusual aptitude and achievement. While it seems that in unusually gifted students the retention of vocabulary depends to a considerable extent on the presentation of preferential stimuli (in this case the pictorial), this need not be the case in retaining syntactical units.*

^{*}In the interview the student expressed a slight disfavor of the combined presentation of learning material. On the basis of this it may be assumed that in programming learning materials of longer sequences, a preferential learning mode my have to be considered.

Student No. 2

<u>Test</u> I

While the pronunciation scores show optimal retention under the graphic demonstration, the scores in pictorial and combined showed no significant difference. In structural retention the student demonstrated clear preference for the graphic mode with a trend towards the pictorial. Contents were best retained under the graphic image presentation while retention under the other two modes was equal.

<u>Test II</u>

- -

FRIC

Mastery of both pronunciation and structure was optimal under the pictorial presentation and minimal under the graphic presentation. A trend towards combined stimulation could be observed. Content was best retained under the pictorial stimulation, also with a tendency towards combined learning mode.

General Conclusions

1. Pronunciation

In each of the two tests the retention scores under pictorial and combined stimulation showed significant similarity of preference. Optimal retention was achieved under graphic stimulation in Test I, and underipic sorial stimulation (followed by combined stimulation) in Test II.

2. Structure

Preference in structural retention shows a direct relationship to retention in pronunciation, while the only exception that in Test I a higher retention was achieved under the pictorial learning mode than under combined stimulation.

3. Content

ERIC

Retention in content reflects the fluctuation of results in the retaining of sound pattern and structural form.

The evaluation of the results in Test 1 and 2 does.not enable us to establish conclusively a predominant preference type. It can be assumed that this difficulty is caused by the clear preference for pure audio-type stimulation shown by the student in phase 1 which was not applied as a learning mode in phase 2. Comparison of results in phase 1 and 2 shows, however, a strong trend towards the pictorial image type of learning.

Student No. 3

Test I and II

Retention scores in pronunciation, structure and content show a significant preference for the combined presentation of stimuli. The demonstrated trend towards graphic image learning in the first test and the one towards pictorial learning in the second test bear no significance.

General Conclusions

ERIC

This student, whose retention scores in the first phase (learning of vocabulary) did not exceed the ⁴O Per cent level either in full or in partial retention, also achieved poor results in learning structural units. While the pictorial stimulation facilitated optimal performance in the learning of vocabulary, the combined stimulation effected optimal learning of sentences (not exceeding the 50% level). The student's pictorial preference and trend towards graphic presentation demonstrated in vocabulary learning indicate her need for combined stimulation in learning more difficult structural forms and thus was the cause of optimal retention in the results demonstrated in phase 2.

Student No. 6

Test I

Both in pronunciation and in structure this student demonstrated optimal preformance under graphic presentation of stimuli while in the pictorial-type and combined learning mode he was successful, with no significant difference in the results. The same applies to the retention of content.

Test II

In this test optimal retention of pronunciation and structure was achieved under pictorial and graphic stimulation, the retention scores being lower in the combined method. <u>General Conclusions</u>

The student shows a clear preference for the verbal image type with a strong trend towards the pictorial. The student, whose full retention scores in vocabulary learning did not differ in the pictorial, graphic and combined modes, was categorized as a combined preference type (with a strong trend towards the pictorial) on the basis of high partial retention scores in these learning modes. In learning syntactical units, the student apparently needs the graphic image but is also strongly assisted through pictorial demonstration, especially in learning of sound patterns.

Student No. 7

Test I

The student's retention scores in pronunciation, structure, and content did not show any significant difference between the graphic and the combined learning mode. The pictorial retention scores did not exceed the 25% level. Test II

In this test the student again showed high performance under verbal image stimulation (above the 98% level of retention) in all three language areas. Contrary to the first test, his retention was lower this time in the combination type but optimal in pronunciation and second best in structure in the pictorial image type. It is interesting to note that the student's optimal retention in learning sound patterns was facilitated through the pictorial mode while optimal retention of structural form could only be retained under the graphic stimulation.

General Conclusions

ERIC

In comparing the result of vocabulary learning with those obtained in learning structural sequences, the student's trend towards the graphic type expressed in the first phase became dominant when he had to retain phrases rather than words. In learning phrases, however, the pictorial element facilitated his retention considerably more in the pronunciation area than in the structural forms.

Student No. 8

<u>Test I</u>

Optimal performance in pronunciation, structure and content was achieved by this student under pictorial stimulation. Retention scores achieved under combined stimulation ranked next, while retention achieved under graphic stimulation did not exceed the 50% level in any of the three areas.

Test II

ERIC

Highest performance in all three language areas was achieved under the graphic stimulation while the pictorial and combined presentation of stimuli did not result in retention scores higher than 25 per cent in any of the three areas.

General Conclusions

In analyzing the retention scores of Test I and II, in spite of their not being entirely conclusive, the student may be categorized as a visual type with a slight preference of the graphic element and a strong trend towards the pictorial. In comparing the results of structural learning with those of vocabulary learning, it is important to note that the student's partial retention scores in vocabulary learning were the lowest under the graphic stimulation and not much higher under pictorial stimulation, but highest under combined stimulation.

Student No. 9

<u>Test I</u>

This student's retention did not exceed the 25% level in any of the three areas under pictorial stimulation but showed optimal performance under the combined learning mode (pronunciation 93%, structure 84%, content 100%). Retention scores in the graphic mode did not exceed the 50% level in any of the three language areas.

<u>Test II</u>

While the graphic retention scores in pronunciation and structure showed the same low results as in Test I, all three retention scores under pictorial stimulation were very high (contrary to Test I). The student's performance under combined stimulation, however, did not significantly differ from that under the graphic stimulation but was considerably lower than in Test I (scores representing only 50% of the score values of Test I). <u>General Conclusions</u>

The student can be categorized as a combined preference type with a strong trend towards pictorialstimulation. The student's total achievement in bocabulary learning did not exceed the 45% level in full retention of words in any of the four modes of stimulation. In learning structural forms the student's performance was also rel.. atively poor. His trend towards the pictorial image type demonstrated in the vocabulary learning phase could again

be observed in the learning of structural units. The student seemed to have demonstrated a pictorial and audio combination learning type in the vocabulary tests (having only little aptitude for the learning of languages). His dependency on the audio element reappeared in the learning of phrases and may account for his preference for the combined instructional method,*

(L)

ERIC

^{*}In programming sequences of learning units, a strong reinforcement of the audio element may have to be consid-ered for this student.

Student No. 10

<u>Test I</u>

K-M

ERIC

The student showed high results in pronunciation and structure in the verbal image and the combined types while his retention was very low under the pictorial condition. In the graphic mode he was a little less successful in structural retention than in retaining sound patterns. In Test II in both pronunciation and structure as well as in content retention the student's performance was optimal under combined stimulation. He also achieved high results in the graphic mode, being slightly less successful in structural retention under pictorial stimulation.

General Conclusions

In the overall picture the student demonstrated a preference for the combined learning mode. Performance under graphic was nearly equally good while the pictorial mode resulted in significantly low performance. In comparing the student's performance in learning vocabulary and in learning structural forms, his strong tendency towards the combined mode can be recognized as being equally important. While the student demonstrated a great dependency on the pictorial stimulation in the learning of vocabulary and his retention was nearly as much assisted by the combined stimulation (the audio-type presentation effecting only very low results), the combined and the graphic both seemed most needed for optimal performance in learning structures.

Student No. 11

<u>Test I</u>

In pronunciation, structure and content the student demonstrated a preference for the combined learning mode with a strong trend towards the graphic. His performance under the pictorial mode was less successful but still relatively high.

Test II

ERIC

In all three language areas preference was demonstrated for the pictorial learning mode with a very strong trend towards the graphic stimulation. While the combined mode still favored retention of pronunciation to some extent, it resulted in a lower score in retention of structure. General Conclusions

The overall picture shows optimal performance in all areas in the graphic learning mode with nearly equal results in pictorial and combined situations. While the preference for the combined learning mode, demonstrated in retention of vocabulary, reappeared only as a tendency in the scores of pronunciation retention and of structural learning, this tendency is less significant for the retention of structural form. The dependency on graphic symbolization was clearly expressed as a dominant factor in learning structural units.

VI. DISCUSSION

In conclusion we can sum up the findings of this investigation in answering three essential questions dealing with some of the complex features of sensory imagery and retention in foreign language learning thus:

First Question: ARE THERE PREFERENCES FOR LEARNING MODES IN THE ACOUISITION OF THE SKILL OF AUDIO COMPREHENSION AND ORAL REPRODUCTION ? (Cf. Table on Chisquare analysis Phase 1 and 2) Although the investigators are aware of the limited validity of results obtained in the present study, one can regard it as a pilot investigation which, as the first of its kind, did give valuable clues significantly indicating the definite existence of preferences in sensory imagery and learning modes adjusted to these. Large-scale field studies which can be designed along the lines of this pilot study should enable us to reconfirm and revalidate the existence and consideration of these preferences in foreign language loarning. This consideration seems to be highly important for a new orientation in remedial learning of the average and low achievement groups. Incorporation of sequential steps in programming elementary teaching of phonemic, morphological and syntactical features in the different learning modes should utilize preferential aids of a visual (pictorial) as well as graphic phonetic symbolization whenever possible. The combined approach seems only advisable when auditory retention capacity and preference is higher than average. A conclusive analysis of the distribution and frequency of the various types and combination forms of preference learners is hard to obtain even after large field studies with thousands of subjects will have been conducted. Such results may also vary in different cultures as a result of the impact of the modern mass media incorporated in the communication processes of everyday life as well as the educational experience of the school system and its curriculum. As a gross generalization, it can be assumed

71

ERIC

that the trend towards visualization and consequent phenomenal abstraction does not establish a favorable milieu for audio preference development. The art of listening and orally expressing the comprehended matter must be increasingly more trained through auditory stimuli of various degrees. Language aptitude tests in this respect will have to be modified in this light in establishing a clear distinction between real linguistic aptitude and mere deficiencies in auditory aptitude.

- 2 -

At the same time the preference stimulise favored by the milieu of our visual age must be utilized not only for high audio achievers (who seem to be scarce per se) but especially for the highly frequent visual and visual-combination types in utilizing their strengths for increase of motivation in achieving the auditory learning goal. In practice, this will mean that the organized and systematized application of visual aids will have to be incorporated into the language laboratory on a highly flexible scale.(*) A further valuable aid in this respect will be the extensive application of highly motivating audio-visual teaching media such as the animated cartoon teaching film.

This can be used in the form of 8 mm cartridge films which recombine and summarize segmental steps previously taught through pictorial stimuli in the form of stills. This application should be of value not only for visualization of speech patterns with respect to their content matter, but also in consideration of the so far much neglected intonation patterns. Theoretical research findings,

 \Box

ERIC

^(*)Visual aids for the learning process in mastery of lexical items should preferably only consist of pictorial images and in priciple not of graphic symbolization. This is a suggestion which the investigation revealed. In the transition to structural patterns, these pictorial aids should be gradually extended into effective cues for action processes or descriptive analysis of everyday situations. The still picture offers great help in build-up drills as well as in creative drillsof the substitution, transformation, and recombination type. Thus audio repetition and creative drills can be complemented by sets of pictures which stimulate the visual minded student to direct association of the pictorial cue with the expected auditory response desired as a terminal behavior pattern.

(90a-c) such as those recently presented by Pierre DeLattre () (Ndicate this Visual In this way we could, for instance, demonstrate the rising intonation patterns of the sensegroups of a German, French, or Spanish statement as contrasted to the falling intonation patterns of English in the equivalent situation. Also the important features of final or prefinal sentence intonation of the verbal elements in the tension field of the German sentence (viz., the discontinuous verb sequence and the stressed directives as pivotal elements of the sentence) as contrasted to the very frequent stress patterns preferably assigned to the nominal elements in the Inglish and French sentence. This visualization would be important in all stages of language training, from the elementary through teacher or interpreter training. The highly important mastery of open and closed juncture (onset and offset and glottal stop), can be treated in addition to tone and stress features. Animation has excellent possibilities in visualizing pause and the plosive of the glottal stop. Extensive drills for recognition and practice of word groups (sense groups) as partials of the entire tension field of a syntactical patterr can be visualized in these pattern drills. The different stages of training in linguistics, as well as teaching programs in foreign languages, should utilize this possibility. An introductory course in phonemics and syntax should offer ample opportunity to apply visualization. Important steps in transformation grammar could be elucidated by this procedure. The artist and cinerist in co-operation with the linguist and language teacher should apply pictorialization, speed, and vanishing techniques, and various optical and motion techniques of the camera, to bring out the desired effects in the visualization of supra-segmental features of speech production. Phonetic and Phonemic distinetions in sound production (articulation basis, tongue and lip movement, etc.) can also be visualized in mere sound training. As a practical example, one might practice the lip younding in German or French modified vowels ([u], [oe],)in word and sentences drills which are supplemented by overhead projection

- 3 -

5

ERIC

of mouth positions with the use of polarization to create an effect of animation on the projected transparencies.

:

* '~

st j

į

þ

ERIC ^ruitaxt Provides Edu eric

CHISQUARE ANALYSIS

PHASE 1 : WORD LEARNING

. The second

STUDENT	CHISOUARE	PROBABILITY	SIGN IFICANCE
1	16.198	0_01	*
2	3.713	0.50	none
3	13.739	0.01	*
4	38.860	0.01	*
5	1.090	0.90	Rone
6	7.159	0.20	*
7	25.924	0.01	*
8	4.355	0.50	none
9	17.518	0.01	₩
10	29.375	0101	*
11	27.886	0.01	*

FullExt Provided by EBIC

PHASE 2 : PRONUNCIATION

STUDENT	CHISOUARE	PROBABILITY	SIGN IFICANCE
1	7.984	0.05	*
2	2.299	0.70	none
3	46.972	0.01	*
4	0.563	0.95	none
5	6.338	0.10	*
6	1.506	0.70	none
7	4.577	0.30	none
8	5.246	0.20	*
9	7.231	0.10	*
10	5.586	0.20	*
11	2.766	0.50	none

75

PHA SE 2	STRUCT	URE	
ENT	CHISQUARE	PROBABILITY	SIGN IFICANCE
	6.348	0.10	*
	2.424	0. 50	none
	46.856	0.01	*
	1.045	0,80	none
	11,162	0.02	*
	2.514	0.50	none
	8.338	0.05	*
8	5.030	0.20	*
	5.828	0.20	*
0	6.700	0.10	*
1	1.292	0.80	none

-6 -

PHASE 2

•

" CONTENT

STUDENT	CHISOUARE	PROBABILITY	SIGNIFICANCE
l	5.892	0.20	*
2	1.562	0.70	none
3	37.500	0.01	*
4	1.086	0.80	none
5	4.545	0.30	none
6	3.571	0.50	none
77	8.750	0.05	*
8	4.999	0.20	*
9	4.999	0.20	*
10	10.714	0.02	*
11	1.086	0.80	none

ne

Coond question:

₿(i)

FRIC

IS THERE A CORRELATION BETWEEN PREFERENCE DEMONSTRATED IN WORD LEARNING AND PREFERENCES DEMONSTRATED IN THE FOLLOWING SUBAREAS OF SENTENCE LEARNING : PRONUNCIATION, STRUCTURE, CONTENT?

The results of the invetigation clearly demonstrate that there is no correlation between word learning and any of the learning skills in sentence learning under the graphic mode. The highest correlation can be seen between word learning and content retention under the combined mode. Learning of structural patterns shows some correlation to word learning under the combined and pictorial mode. The correlation coefficient for word learning and promunciation is highest under the combined mode and non-existent under the graphic. This correlation between word-learning and pronunciation in sentence learning appears in general to be the lowest of all learning areas. These results seem to indicate, to some extent at least, that complementary pictorial stimuli aid retention and consequently facilitate oral reproduction of conceptualized structural patterns. If we analyze the correlation between word learning and the subareas of sentence learning: pronunciation, structure, and context, we can find a significant trend only in the areas of content and structure under the combined mode. Further investigation will be required to determine with any degree of certainty the actual correlation between word- and sentence-lear A -ring in these areas. Since this investigation was aimed at correlations within preference groups, this was not part of the objective of the experiment.

It seems to be permissible to assume that the nearly 50 % correlation of achievement in word learning and in learning of contents matter in sentence patterns confirms a well established fact of audio visual research, that in general maximal use of multisensory stimuli may bring optimal result in retention of <u>contextual facts</u>. A confirmation of this well-known axiom of <u>audiovisual</u> teaching may be interesting, however there are two important additional factors also indicated in the results of our study which immediately limit the value

フリ

of this pedagogical tenet for the specialized discipline of language learning:

8

1. Retention of contents matter is only one of several skills and becomes important only if it is associated and combined with the facility of expression of correct sound intonation and structure patterns. *)

Therefore the above axiom, regarding a cumulative value of multisensory stimuli, may need a careful redefinition for language learning, where the associative skill of analogy and the imitative behavioral skills are certainly more important than the mere retentive skills of factual learning in other disciplices. In conclusion then we may assume that adding stimuli in language learning may only predict added performance in 50 % of the cases.

2. The comparatively limited correlation in any of the learning skills including retention of content matter seems to confirm again the existence of preference types which have to be considered in aptitude testing as well as in remedial learning in order to find any significant degree of correlation of word and sentence learning. It may be assumed that in 60 % of the cases preferences demonstrated in the learning process rather than simple addition of sensory stimuli are responsible for optimal achievement. These preferences, however, are not only dependent on a concentration in their sensory modes bVt also on the degree of segmentation and organization of programming steps within those modes and within the audio mode desired in the response act of the terminal behavior.

78

ERIC

^{*)} This fact is closely related to frequently occurring misconceptions about the value of pictorial tests which apply a multiple choice answer system for the interpretation of contents matter of pictorial stimuli. What is frequently examined in these tests is only the retention of contents associated with "intelligent guessing" but not the retention of contents matter combined with the skill of active expression.

CORRELATION CHART I

1

 \bigcirc

ERIC ^Afull Fact Provided by ERIC

COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATION MORD LEARNING AND SENTENCE LEARNING IN PHASE 2

PICTO	RIAL MODE		
	WORD LEARNING # PRONUNCIATION	0.20856	
	WORD LEARNING - STRUCTURE	0.34456	
	WORD LEARNING - CONTENT	0.23788	
GRA PH	tic mode		
	WORD LEARNING-PRONUNCIATION	0 Suint	
	WORD LEARNING - STRUCTURE	0.02831	
	WORD LEARNING - CONTENT	0.01856	
COMBI	INED MODE		
	WORD LEARNING - PRONUNCIATION	0.32479	
	WORD LEAPNING - STRUCTURE	0.40573	
	WORD LEARNING - CONTENT	0.49558	

Subanalysis of Audio Performance (Phase b) and Graphic Performance (Phase 2)

10

In analyzing achievement under this same mode in the average group in different learning areas, we find a great similarity in the results in pronunciation and structure as well as content. All three are negatively signification, which can be interpreted that the average student (C-range 77-84 ppercent) can with high significance be predicted to achieve under the graphic mode in sentence learning the reverse of his achievement in word learning under the audio mode.

> Correlation between Word Learning Audio Mode and Sentence Learning

> > in the Top Group (Audio Performance)

An analysis of our scores shows highly significant positive correlation between audio and pictorial word learning (Phase 1) as contrasted to a highly significant negative correlation between audio word learning and graphic word learning. The correlation between audio word learning and pictorial sentence

learning is highly significant in structure as well as provunciation but not quite significant in content. The highest positive correlation in this group of students (and for that matter of any group and any mode in the entire analysis) can be found between the audio word learning and the combined sentence learning in the area of structure. From this it can be concluded that top achievers in the audio mode of word learning will significantly match their performance in sentence learning under the pictorial and as far as structural features are concerned especially under the combined mode.

11-

:

ERIC

Third Question:

ERIC

WHICH PREFERENCES ARE MOST EFFECTIVE UNDER THEIR OWN LEARNING HODE IN PRONUNCIATION, STRUCTURE, AND CONTENT?

Our investigation of this question included the following three studies:

- A) (Analysis of Covariance) The analysis of the effects of each learning mode on the several groups of preference learners;
- B) (Analysis of Variance) The analysis of the reaction of each preference group to the various learning modes;
- C) The analysis of correlation between performance under the audio learning mode (Phase 1) and performance under the other learning modes (Phases 1 and 2).

Part A and Part B differ in point of view. In part A we effectively choose a learning mode and examine the variation between preference groups in test scores under this mode. In part B, we choose a preference group and examine the variation between test scores in the various learning modes for this group.

In other words, in Part A we are attempting to discover how much influence any given learning mode will have on students in general. In Part B we wish to know how strongly a student is influenced by his preference.

While parts A mad B of our investigation in answer to the 3rd question were based on the analyses of covariance and variance, Part C was based on the correlation coefficients between audio word learning (Phase 1) and pictorial, graphic and combined word learning on the one hand and between audio word learning (Phase 1) and pictorial, graphic and combined sentence learning (Phase 2) on the other hand. This investigation was done

a) in a general analysis, for all subjects, and

b) in three achievement groups, the percentage scores of which were prorated for three ranges of achievement (top group: 85 - 100; middle group 77 - 84; bottom group: below 77).

82

ANALY SIS OF COVARIANCE

Discussion

- []

In discussing the reaction of the various groups of preference learners to the different modes we see that all groups, or in other words, most subjects, whatever their preference, showed approximately the same achievement under the combined mode while they varied considerably under the other modes *) . It is interesting to note, however, that the combined is the only mode in which the F is regularly not significant and the pictorial mode is the only one which is consistently significant. Significance at the 5 to 6 p.c. level was apparent in the pictorial test for the linguistic mixed group, the statistic pure groups, the statistic mixed group in structure. In content also the statistic mixed and the linguistic mixed groups showed significance at the 5 p.c. level.

5

The fact that the pure preference groups as well as the mixed showed this significance in structure and the fact that the reaction to the graphic mode also was significant in structure seems to indicate two things:

Retention and achievement in structural formations of language is based on the effective perceptualisation and conceptualisation of the meaning of a verbal construct which is associated or correlated with the sound and intonation pattern of this construct. The reproduction of the general contents of the conversational situations tested was significantly different in the various preference groups of learners in their reactions to the pictorial stimulus. From this it can be concluded that pictorial aids are highly beneficial to pictorial learning types , but at least not to the same degree for different learning types who associate and retain meaning frequently through sound and intonation imagery as well as abstract memorisation ability not aided through pictorial stimuli.

*) That means that this mode does not really effect maximum achievement, it is least discrimuty.

accriminatory

In the reproduction of structural phenomena this difference in learning capacity was also demonstrated.

The experimenters observed in their interviews with students that certain sound images as well as rhythmic patterns were retained through onomatopoeic associations as well as contrastive sound imagery with related phonemic combinations in their mother tongue without resorting to the pictorial image. Examples in word learning were, for instance, "Schweif"

and in structure learning

])

ERIC Print Provided by BRIC Sind Sie schou lange in Rossbach?

In this connection it will be interesting to investigate the positive and negative influence of sound patterns as well as intenation systems on the capacity of different sensory image types of language learners. The factor of linguistically predictive difficulties in audio-comprehension as well as oral reproduction of contrastive sound phenomena as seen, for instance, in the absence of certain sound clusters or stress and pitch characteristics (See DeLatre's recent study in the intonation systems of English, French and German *) must be carefully considered in such an investigation.

*) Cf Bibliography (909)

ANALYSIS OF COVARIANCE IN THE DIFFERENT LEARNING AREAS

15

LFGEND:

:

٥ •

 $\langle \rangle$ $\smallsetminus \mathcal{V}$

ŷ

ERIC Pruit from Provided by ERIC

•••••••

T	-	S.S.Y (in learning area and respective mode indicated)
X	-	S.S.X (average score over four modes Phase 1)
B	•	regression co-efficient
XY	-	sum of products
DF		degree of freedom
MS		mean souare
F	•	ratio of variance

an an an Anna an an Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna An

TABLE 1

PRONUN	IATION	P1	ctorial M	lode			
LINGUI	TIC PURF C	ROUPS					
Source Prefs Within Total	Y 435.803 4461.029 4896.832 B = 1.	XY -29.600 713.193 683.593 913	x 8.222 219.128 227.350	Y-BX 2351.793 1169.751 3521.545	DF 3. 5. 8.	мs 783.931 233.950 Ц40.193	F 3.350
LINGUES	TIC MIXED	GROUPS					
Source Prefs Within Total	Y 288.044 4657.880 4945.924 B = 1	XY -70.236 846.061 775.825	x 40.673 391.059 431.732	Y-BX 1677.757 2007.560 3685.318	87 3. 9. 12.	MS 559.252 223.062 307.109	F 2.507
STATI 37	IC PURE TR	NOUPS					
Source Prefs Within Total	Y 604.408 4317.649 4922.057 B = 1.	XY 18.642 713.619 732.261 033	X 64.603 274.526 339.129	Т-вх 1843.472 1774.604 3618. 677	DF 3. 7. 10.	MS 614.490 253.514 361.807	F 2.423
STATIST	IC MIXED O	ROUPS					
Source Prefs Within	T 598.768 4755.822	XY 6.745 853.620	X 44.751 405.355	Т-ВХ 1265,523 2642,373	DF 3. 11.	MS 421.841 210.275	7
Total	5354.590 B = 1.	860,365	450,106	3907.897	14.	279.135	1.756

97. AV 47.

Ľ

G

 \bigcirc

ERIC Autor revealed by the

*

PRONUNCIATION Graphic Mode							, al
LINGUI	TIC PURE G	ROUPS					د
Source Prefs Within Total	195.802 7216.981 7352.783 B = 4.	XY 21.895 1033.858 1055.253 339	X 8.222 219.128 227.350	Y-BX 2783.491 2219.307 5002.799	DF 3. 5. 8.	мs 927.830 Цц3.861 625.349	F 2.050
LINCUI	TIC MIXED	GROUPS					
Source Prefs Within Totsl	Y 482/330 8652.616 9134.946 B = 3	XY 133.830 1372.042 1505.872 1.285	X 40.673 391.059 431.732	¥-вх 2011.884 3785.726 5797.611	DT 3. 9. 12.	MS 670,628 420.636 483.134	F 1.594
re IT Are	TC PURE OR	OUPS					
Source Frefs Within Total	Y 978.130 7427.466 8405.596 B = 3.	XY 247.472 1072.467 1319.939 076	X 64.603 274,526 339 .129	7-ВХ 3044.806 2420.442 5465.249	DF 3. 7. 10.	мя 1014.935 345.777 546.524	Г 2.9 ⁷ 35
STATI ST	IC MIXED G	ROUPS					
Source Prefs Within Total	Y 1139.150 &.28.690 9567.840 B = 2.	XY 220.737 1348,642 1569.379 632	X 44.751 405.355 450.106	Y-BX 2909.700 3227.539 6037.240	DF 3. 11. 14.	MS 936.566 293.412 431.231	F 3.191

86

الم الويون المعادي وجاف أثر الماضي الالتار المالية

Ľ.

ł

٩

-

0

ERIC Prul fext Provided by ERIC

•

PHONUNC	TATION	Co	molned				
LINGUTS Source Prefs Within Total	TIC PURE G 152.368 1729.623 1881.991 B -	ROUPS XY -2.166 287.896 285.730 940	¥ 8,222 219,128 227,350	Y-BX 250,387 1273.402 1523.790	DF 3. 5. 8.	33_462 83_462 254_680 190_473	F ,327
LINGUI	TIC MIXED	ROUPS					
Source		XY Ro Roo	I Lo (72)	Y-BX	DF	MS	F

17

Within 2633.621 387.099 391.059 2207.242 9. 245.249 Total 2874.030 460.882 431.732 2420.691 12. 201.724		B = .6	69					4-7-
	Within Total	2633.621 2874.030	387.099 460.882	391.059 431.732	2207.242 2420.691	9. 12.	245.249 201.724	.290

STATISTIC PURE GROUPS

Source	T	XY	Y	Y-BX	DF	MS	r
Prefs	97,197	-60.876	64,603	535.878	3.	178,626	
Within	2357.193	461.241	274.526	1455.366	7.	207.909	
Total	2454.390	400.365	339.129	1991.245	10.	199.124	.859
	B = 1.	655					• • •

STATISTIC MIXED GROUP

Source	Y	XY	T	Y-BX	DF	MS	F
Prefs	75.099	53.595	44.751	93.740	3.	31.246	-
Within	3119.675	433.022	405.355	2605.885	n.	236.898	
Total	3194.774	486.617	450.106	2699.626	14.	192,830	.131
	B = ,8	28					• •

18

STRUCTURE Pictorial Mode

LINGUISTIC PURE GROUPS

nau i namenen

þ

Prefs Within	357 .785 5046 .543	-42.388 842.936	8,222 219,128	2501.64?	Dr 3. 5.	MS 833.880 265.11/6	F
Tctal	5404.328 B = 2.	800,548 999	227.350	3827.375	8.	478.421	3.144

LINGUISTIC MIXED GROUPS

Source	I	XY	T	Y-BX	DF	MS	T
Prefs	223.741	-82.490	hō-673	2101.1.1	7 3_	700.1.71	•
Within	5650.072	1153.987	391.059	1863.850	9	207.094	
Total	5873.813	1071,497	431.732	3965.265	12.	330.438	9,382
	B = 2.	<u>hh5</u>			•		

STATISTIC PURE GROUPS

Source	Y	XY	Y	YBX	DF	MS	T
Prefs	757,153	5.559	64.603	2512,168	- २	837 .1.89	•
Within	4885.534	936.352	274.586	1388-834	7.	198.1.01	
Total	5642.687	941.811	33 9.129	3901.303	10.	390,130	1,227
	B = 3.	091		<i>,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		<i>))</i> () () (496.Cd

STATISTIC MIXED GROUPS

212.425 326.567	3,507
	212.425 326.567

\$

1)

ERIC Prill East Provided by ERIC 17

STRUCTU	STRUCTURE Graphic		: Mode					
LINGUIS	TIC PURE G	ROUPS						
Source Prefs Within Totel	Y 280.329 7234.802 7515.131 B = 4.	XY 48.000 1058.890 1106.890 683	X 8.222 219.128 227.350	Y-BX 31.30,944 2067.060 5198.005	DF 3. 5. 8.	MS 1043.648 413.412 649.750	F 2 ,5 24	
LINGUISTIC MIXED GROUP								
Source Prefs Within Totsl	Y 769.766 8134.285 8904.051 B = 3.	XY 176.926 1433.728 1610.654 696	X 40.673 391.059 431.732	Т-ВХ 2662.862 2871.601 5534.464	DF 3. 9. 12.	MS 887.620 319.066 461.205	F 2.781	
STATI ST	IC PURE GR	OUPS			-			
Source Prefs Within Totel	Y 581.551 7731.614 8313.165 B = 3.	XY 193.768 1196.575 1390.283 871	X 64.603 274.526 339.129	Y-BX 3252.692 2149.125 5401.818	DF 3. 7, 10.	MS 1084.230 307.017 540,181	F 3.531	
STATI ST	IC MIXED	ROUPS						
Source Refs Within Total	Y 853.171 8701,990 9555.161 B = 3.	XY 194.511 1521.478 1715.989 336	X 44.751 405.355 450.106	Y-BX 3186.755 2700.881 5887.63¥	DF 3. 11. 14.	MS 1062.251 245.534 420.545	F 4.326	

STRUCTURE	Combined	Mode

LINGUISTIC PURE GROUPS

?

Source Prefs Within Total	Y \$19.168 1175.035 1594.203	XY 37.362 294.145 331.507	X 8.222 219.128 227.350	т-вх 362.249 762.292 1124.542	DF 3. 5. 8.	MS 120.749 152.458 140.567	F .792
	B - 1.	162					

20

1 3

LINGUISTIC MIXED GROUPS

Source Brefs Within Total	Y 805.753 1881.960 2687.713	XY 164.745 413.231 577.976	X 40.673 391.059 431.732	Y-BX 478.848 1436.963 1915.812	DF 3. 9. 12.	MS 159.616 159.662 159.651	F .999
	B = .9	5)					

STATISTIC PURE GROUPS

Source Prefs Within Total	T 328.860 1905.281 2238.141	XH -27.500 512.132 484.632	X 64.603 274.526 339.129	Y-BX 619.443 934.121 1552.565	DF 3. 7. 10.	MS 206.147 133.445 155.256	F 1.544
	B = 1.	925					

STATISTIC MIXED ROUPS

Full faxt Provided by ERIC

Source Profs Within Total	Y 411.271 2479.737 2891.008	XY 96.521 509.881 606.402	X 44.751 405.355 450.106	Т* ВХ 268.598 1809.145 2077.744	DF 3. 11. 14.	MS 89.532 164.467 148.410	г • 544
	B 🖷 1.	200					

CONTENT

;

÷ م

. . .

))

ERIC

.

Pictorial Mode

LINGUISTIC PURF GROUP

Source Y XY X Y-BX DF MS Prefs 650.722 *48.694 8.222 3096.686 DF3. 1032.228 Within 5000.001 800.645 219.128 1062.743 5. 212.548 Total 5659.723 751.951 227.350 4159.430 8. 519.929 B = 2.293 F 4.85\$

21

LINGUISTIC MIXED GROUPS

Source Prefs Within Total	Y 391.026 5979.167 6370.193	XY -95.165 1190.706 1095.541	X 40.673 391.059 431.732	т-вх 2858.952 1489.580 4348.533	DF 3. 9. 12.	MS 952。984 165。508 362。377	F 5.757
TOCAL	B = 1.	861	4)20192	43446733			20121

STATISTIC PURE GROUPS

Source Prefs Within Total	Y 1332.387 6437.500 7769.887	XY 123.858 1536.357 1660.215	X 64.603 274.526 339.129	Y-BX 2563.283 1692.373 1255.656	DF 3. 7. 10,	мs 854.427 241.767 425.565	F 3.534
Total	7769.887 B = 2	1000,215 1112	339.129	4222.020	103	427.707	2.224

STATISTIC MIXED GROUPS

Source Pre fs Within Total	T 793.155 5915.179 6708.334 B = 2	XY 13.194 1157.358 1170.552	X 44.751 495.355 450.106	т-вх 2483.805 2043.847 4527.65 3	DF 3. 11. 14.	MS 827.935 185.804 323.403	F 4.455
	B = 2.852						

TABLE §

CONTENT Graphic Mode

:

ļ

 \bigcirc

ERIC

LINGUISTIC FURE GROUPS

Source T M Y-BX DF MS F X 22.626 8.222 2404.230 138.888 3. Prefs 801.410 Within 6562.501 959.952 219.128 2125.678 5. 8. 425.135 6701.389 982.578 22 7.350 4529.909 Total 566,238 1,885 B = 3.755

12

LINGUISTIC MIXED GROUPS

Source 140.548 525.645 **Y--BX** DF MS X F 40.673 1791.539 3. Prefs 597.179 Within 8104.167 1292.995 Total 8629.812 1433.543 B = 2.816 391.095 3679.939 408,882 9. 431.732 5471.479 455.956 1.460 12.

STATISTIC PURE GROUPS

645.597 Т У-ВХ 64.603 2464.769 Source TT DF MS F 202.041 3. 821,589 Prefs Within 7195.313 1047.016 274.526 2610.435 372,919 .7. 7840.010 1249.057 339.129 5075.205 Total 10. 507_520 B = 3.097

STATISTIC MIXED GROUPS

Source	7	玎	X	Y-BX	DF	MS	F
Prefs	1000,740	207,917	44.751	2344-450	3.	781.483	
Within	7957.600	1294.884	405.355	3262.559	11.	296.596	
Total	8958,340	1562.801	450.106	5607.010	14.	400,500	2.634
	B = 2.530						
13

9

CONTENT

Combined Mode

LINGUISTIC PURE GROUPS

Source Y XY X X-BX DF MS F Prefs 34.722 5.212 8.222 294.006 3. 98.002 Within 2395.834 259.178 219.128 1831.428 5. 366.285 Total 2430.556 264.390 227.350 2125.434 8. 265.679 .267 B = .592

LINGUI TIC MIXED GROUP

Source	Ĩ	XY	X	Y-BX	DF	MS	P
Prefs	400.641	122.979	40.673	311.528	3.	103.842	
Within	4166.667	616.111	391.059	30h0.8hh	9.	337.871	
Total	4567.308	739.090	431.732	3352.373	12	279.364	.307
	B = 1.	,014					

STATISTIC PURE GROUPS

Ì

 \sum

ERIC

Source	Y	XY	X	Y-BX	DF	MS	F
Prefs	185.369	30.695	64.603	677 211	3.	225.747	•
Within	3507.813	514.198	274.526	2166.721	7.	309.531	
Total	3693,182	541.893	339.129	2843.963	10.	284.396	.729
	B = 2.	011					

STATISTIC MIXED GROUPS

Source	T	XY	X	Y-BX	DF	MS	F
Prefs	311.103	98.459	44.751	332.270	3.	110,756	•
Within	4564.734	634.291	405.355	3408.671	11.	309.879	
Total	4895.837	732.750	450.106	3740.942	14.	267.210	.357
	B = 1.	246					• • • • •

GENERAL PICTORIAL Mode

LINGUISTIC PURE GROUPS

ŧ

ERIC

 Source
 I
 XI
 I
 I-BX
 DF
 MS
 F

 Prefs
 465.955
 -40.227
 8.222
 2581.063
 3.
 860.354

 Within
 4755.585
 785.593
 219.128
 1152.299
 5.
 230.459

 Total
 5221.540
 745.366
 227.350
 3733.363
 8.
 466.670
 3.733

 B = 2.402
 2
 2
 3
 3
 3
 3
 3
 3
 3

-21 -

LINGUISTIC MIXED GROUPS

Source	Y	XY	I	Y-BX	DF	MS	P
Prefs	291.833	-82.628	40.673	2099.302	3.	699.767	•
Within	5269.393	1063.584	391.059	1724.335	9.	191,592	
Total	5561.226	980,956	431.732	3823.638	12.	318,636	3-652

STATISTIC PUPE GROUPS

Source Prefs Within Total	Y 770,878 4623.883 5394.761	XY 42.793 826.058 868.851	7 64.603 274.526 339.129	Х-ВХ 2209.872 1574.639 3784.512	DF 3. 7. 10.	MS 736.624 224.948 378.451	F 3.274 .
	B = 2,1	489					••••

STATISTIC MIXED GROUPS

Source Prefs Within Total	T 704.924 5346.092 6051.016 B = 2 1	XY 1.711 1066.847 1068.558	1 44.751 405.355 450.106	Y-BX 1882.832 224 8.5 62 4126 .395	DF 3. 11. 14.	MS 627.610 203.960 294.742	F 3.077
	B = 2.1	150					

GENERAL Graphic Mode

LINGUISTIC PURE GROUPS

•

•

Þ

)

ERIC

F Source DF MS **Y-BX** Τ XX X 30,674 147.781 3. 8.222 2702.704 900.901 Prefs Within 6922.216 1017.566 219.128 2082.959 Total 7069.997 1048.240 227.350 4785.664 5. 416.591 8. 598.208 2.162 B = 4.259

45

LINGUISTIC MIXED GROUP

Y-BX 17 DF MS Source T XY X 150.150 40.673 2097.886 575. TFS 3. 699.295 Prefs 8124.387 1366.254 391.059 3303.787 8700.165 1516.693 431.732 5401.674 9. 367.087 Within 1,904 Total 12. 450.139 B = 3.266

STATISTIC PURE GROUPS

Y-BX DF MS F Source I XY X 64.603 2846.990 722.812 214.408 3. 948.996 Prefs 7307.344 1105.351 274.526 2303.739 Within 7. 329.105 8030,156 1319.759 339.129 5150.730 Total 2.883 10. 515.073 B = 3.348

STATISTIC MIXED GROUPS

Source T XY X Y-BX DF MS F 44.751 2690.365 896.788 991.830 207.723 3. Prefs Within 8149.130 1388.332 405.355 2922.234 11. 265.657 9140.960 1596.055 450.106 5612.600 14. 400,900 3.375 Total B = 2.906

GENERAL Combined Mode

LINGUI STIC PURE GROUPS

.

þ

ERIC

Source **Y-BX** 206.725 148.389 DF MS F XX X 13.469 8.222 Prefs 3. 68.908 280,406 219,128 1246,777 Within 1684,810 5. 249.355 Total 1833.199 293.875 227.350 1453.503 B = .898 .276 181.687 8.

16

LINGUISTIC MIXED GROUPS

Source XY X 1200502 40.673 **Y-BX** 241,564 F MS Prefs Цф. 775 3. 89.528 Within 2717,100 9. 233.700 Total 195.407 12. ,344

STATISTIC PURE GROUPS

Source	Y	XY	X	Y-BX	TIF	MS	F
Prefs	159,389	-19,228	64.603	517.007	3.	172,335	-
Within	2452.283	495.857	274.526	1427.976	7.	203.996	
Total	2611.672	476.629	339.129	1944.984	10.	194.498	-844

STATISTIC MIXED GROUPS

Source	T	XY	X	Y-BX	THE	MS	T
Prefs	242 . 125	82,858	44.751	139.892	3.	L6 .630	-
Within	3180,912	525.730	405.355	2460.978	n.	223,725	
Total	3423,037	608,588	450,106	2600.871	14.	185.776	-208
	B = 1.(094					

I hird Question: B) Analysis of Variance.

11

ERIC

Pictorial Preference Group

27

According to our analysis of variance the learning mode does not significantly influence the achievement of the pictorial preference learners in any of the skills tested. If we compare this result with the data obtained (Cf. ρ . 109) from our Cross Analysis of Audio Ecsults (Phase 2), we notice that there the highest correlation coefficients occurred in structural learning under the pictorial mode. Our analysis of variance, therefore, seems to confirm a definite correlation of achievement of pictorial preference learners under the audio and under the pictorial mode. This of course can be understood in the light of the fact that in each mode of Phase 2 audio performance represented the terminal behavior. (See: Testing Procedure).

As a practical conclusion for aptitude testing and programming of remedial learning, this would lead us to believe that once we have established the pictorial preference of a given student, we should then consider his IQ (verbal intelligence). We assume that in the cases of high intelligence the mode will hot influence achievement greatly. If the pictorial learner, however, demonstrates low intelligence, the teaching mode will drastically change his achievement (favoring him with pictorial aids and reducing his achievement with other stimuli; the audio stimulus, nevertheless, being subject to careful programming and progressively increasing intensity, retains its essential importance.).

This is an interesting finding if we compare this prediction with the greater restriction in predicting learning achievement for the combined preference learners. Those, as we have seen, are indeed very such influenced by a change of mode.

It remains to be investigated whether the combined preference group includes a majority of average achievers who need all the stimuli guaranteed in their preference mode. In other words, the combined preference group may

be identified with a specific IQ-range (or respective range of verbal intelligence), which cannot be said for the pictorial preference group which incorporates a wide range of fluctuation in verbal intelligence. An indication of this can be seen in the fact that the highest and the lowest achievers among the subjects tested were both included in the pictorial preference group (See: Classification of Students into Preference Groups), while in the combined group we have a number of students who don't show great variations in their achievement (average achievers).

23

ERIC

COMB INED PREFERENCE GROUP

6

FRIC

24

The combined preference group seems to consist of $\sqrt{}$ main sub-groups. 1. Individuals who show an average efficiency in their audio and visual perception skills; but in general are neither high-achievers nor underachievers. This may be correlated withma respective range of average intelligence values and an average range of verbal intelligence. This assumption, however, needs careful validation w which was not possible in this experiment. In pronuncistion this learning type seems to be most susceptible to changes in learning mode. This was indicated by the significant F of 3.794. There was also a trend in the same direction **M** in the content area (F = 2.413, significance at the 10 p.c. level). From these results it can be concluded that the students is learning ability with reference to memorisation of content matter as well as imitative and associative skills; in the audiolingual performance is dependent upon the combination of picture and sound as essential learning aids.

Since all individuals in the combined preference group also showed high performances under the pictorial learning mode it can be assumed that the graphic element included in the combined learning mode is not the essential factor in the students' preferential association process. In most cases, as a matter of fact, the score-funder the pictorial mode were very close to those under the combined. The addition of the graphic element in this mode may have satisfied a habit accuired by some individuals through extensive one-sided past learning experience. This accuired habit is probably also carried into the learning area of pronunciation, simply because these learning types are lacking sufficient support from their inadequate audioskill. In order to make up for this deficiency the student clings to the verbal image as a potential aid to memorisation.

In German, obviously, this tendency is more rewarded than in many other languages because of the consistent graphic correlation of sound image and graphic symbolisation represented in the relatively systematic writing system of that

language. In further tests it might be advisable to investigate into the incorporation of the graphic element in a combined teaching method at various degrees of ruantity, that means that the verbal image may be included in spaced intervals and eradually'faded out' until the terminal behavior is reached without maintaining this "crutch" of graphem_ic supplit. Verbal image symbols in such a procedure might also be used in the forms of phinitic symbols, at least to some degree necessary for an essential contrastive any ys s. It certainly would not be advisable to use graphenes for languages with highly veriable and complicated writing systems which provide little of no help in and systematic correlation of sound and signal, Because if graphic symbolication of a non-phonetic type were used in -let's say teaching Englagin to French speakers or vice versa - the association skills of the student would be one-side ily geared to the dominating influence of graphemic symbolisation; the already lower degree of audio-skill present in the majority of combined preference learners would even be diminished. All these presumptions ; of course, are made in view of the learning objective as primarily consisting of the audiolingual competence in the language as the first goal in an elementary teaching program.

The reaction of combined learners to the achievement in learning tasks of reading and writing skills which can also be effectively strengthened through language lab practice at advanced learning stages is a different matter. In that phase of the learning process combined learners might need a greater amount of graphem ic stimuli incorporated into a combined learning mode and draw greater benefit from these because a firm proficiency in memorisation, retention and reproduction of sound images of phonemic as well as rhythmic stress patterns will already have been established.

30

ERIC

Graphic Preference Learners and No Preference Learners

5

()

From our results we could not establish a group of graphic preference learners, a fact which might be recurrent in similar experiments on a larger scale. We assume that in any case, the graphic preference learners will only amount to a small minority.

with regard to the no-preference learners, our analysis of variance seemed to verify our classification. The students who did appear to have no preference were, in general, underachievers in sentence learning (See: Percentage Scores and Classification Charts). Again the investigation of possible correlation of underachievement, non-preference, and eneral IQ ranges might be interesting in further experiments.

22

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (PERFORMANCE OF PREFERENCE GROUPS) IN THE

DIFFERENT MODES

LEGEND:

A group designated Linguistic meanys that the selections was made on the 'basis of a careful analysis of the student's performance in phase 1, considering the interrelated linguistic factors.

A group designated Statistic means that the selection was made on the '. basis of an analysis of the student's variation ins gores.

A group designated Pure means that the students in the group showed a clear preference for that particular mode above all others.

A group designated Mixed includes the "pure" preference students as well as those who show a preference for this mode among others.

LINGUISTIC FURE GROUPS Pictorial Preference

PRONUNCIATION

ERIC

Source	SUMSC	DF	MS	7	
Modes	484.349	2.	242.174	-	
within	8989.384	6.	1498.224		
Total	9473.697	8.	1184.212	.161	
STRUCTU	RE				
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	7	
Mođes	515.829	2.	257.914		
Within	8910.136	6.	1485.022		
Total	9425,965	8.	1178,245	.173	
CON TENT					
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F	
Modes	451.389	2.	225.694	·	
Within	9895.834	6.	1649.305		
Totall	10347.223	8.	1293.402	.136	
GENERAL					
Source	. e	SUM SO	DF	MS	F
Modes	P.67	171.733	2.	235.866	•
Within	4	9239 311	6.	1539-890	
Total	• • •	9711.077	8.	1213.88)	
		/ · •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			.

.153

LINGUISTI	C PURE GROUPS	Combin	ned Preference	
PRONUNCIA	TION			
ource	SUMSO	DF	MS	F
Modes	93.340	2.	46.670	
within	2551.621	6	425.270	
Total	2644.961	8.	330.620	.109
STRUCTURE	3			
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F
Modes	58.122	2.	29.061	-
Within	2800 051	6	168.175	
Total	2867.176	8.	358, 397	.062
CONTENT				•••
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F
Modes	138.888	2.	69.444	
Within	1875.001	6.	312,500	
Total	2013.889	8.	251.736	•235
GENERAL				
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F
Modes	82,606	2.	41.303	
Within	2319.678	6.	386.613	
Total	2402.284	8.	300.285	.106
LINGUIST	IC PURE GROUPS	No Pre	ference	
PRONUNCI	ATION			
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	T
Modes	108.869	2.	54.434	
within	1866.66h	6.	311.110	
Total	1975.533	8.	246,941	.174
STRUCTUR	5		MS	
Source	SIMSO	THE	91 9 973	F
Noder	1.25 01.6	2	280 531	•
111 + h	1727 100	ζ.	270 202	
	1131.170	Q.	2/04,372	725
JOTAT T	2103.130	0.		• ())
CONTENT				-
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F
Modes	138.888	2.	69.444	
Within	2187.501	6.	364.583	
Total	2326.389	8.	290.798	.190
GENERAL				
Source	SUM SO	DF	MS	F
Modes	197.617	2.	98.808	-
Within	1803 589	6	300.598	
Total 1	2001 204	Ř	250 150	208

.

)

ERIC

103

LINGUIST	TC MIXED GROUP	s Pict	Pictorial Preference				
PRONUNCIA	TION						
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F			
Modes	908.402	2.	454.201				
Within	10372.588	12.	864.382				
Total	11280.990	14.	805.785	.525			
STRUCTURE	R.						
Source	STMSO	DF	MS	F			
Modes	868.310	2.	434.155				
Within	99 5 898	12.	828.074				
Total	1080-, 203	14.	771.800	.524			
CONTENT							
Source	SOMOS	DF	MS	F			
Modes	1187.504	2.	593.752				
Within	12312.506	12.	1026.042				
Total	13400.010	14.	964.286	.578			
GENERAL		•					
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F			
Modes	· ·978.161	2.	489,080				
within	10686.286	12.	890,523				
Total	11664.147	14.	833.174	.549			
LINGUIST	IC MIXED GROUP	s Comb	ined Preference				
PRONUNCI	ATION			-			
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS NG COD	F			
Modes	336.075	2.	100.037				
within	3704.005	12.	308,738	et 1			
Total	4040.940	14.	200.030	•544			
STRUCTUR	भ						
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F			
Modes	283.98 8	2.	141.969				
within	3992.229	12.	332.685				
Total	4276.167	14.	305.440	.426			
CONTENT							
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F			
Modes	583, 337	2.	291.668	-			
Within	3750 003	12.	312,500				
Total	4333 340	14.	309.524	.933			
GENERAL							
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F			
Modes	380.314	2.	190,157				
within	3612.004	12.	301.750				
Total	4001.319	Lh.	285.808	. 630			

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

1) C 4

Ď

ERIC A-full Taxt Provided by EFIC Cattoric services and an end and the services

34

104

· TO A TOTAL CONTRACT

			35	
LINGUI STI	C MIXED GROUPS	No	Preference	
PRONUNCIA	TION			
Source	SUMSQ	DF	MS	F
Modes	108.869	2.	54.434	
Within	1866.664	6.	311.110	9 al.
Total	1975.533	8.	246.941	.174
STRUCTURE	E			
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F
Modes	425.916	?.	212.973	
within	1737.190	6.	289.531	
Total	?163.136	8	270.392	.735
CONT#NT				
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F
Modes	138.888	2.	69.44 4	
Within	2187. 401	6.	364. 583	
Total	2326.389	8.	290.798	.190
GENERAL				
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F
Modes	197.617	2.	98.808	
Within	1803.589	6.	300.598	
Total	2001.206	8.	250.150	• 328
STATISTI	C PURE GROUPS	Picto	rial Preference	
PRONUNCT	ATTON	فكنول بيانب بنيوان		
Source	SIMSO	DF	MS	F
Modes	666 57 7	2	333,288	-
Mthin	11055 723	12	921, 310	
Total	11727.300	14.	837.307	. 361
STRUCTUR	F			
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F
Modes	821.611	2.	410.805	
Within	10208.517	12.	850,709	
Total	11030.128	14.	787.866	.482
CONTENT				
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	r
Modes	1020.837	2.	510.418	
Within	11687.500	12.	973.958	
Total	12708.337	14.	907.738	.524
GENERAL				_
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F
Modes	803.582	2.	401.791	
Within	10848.674	12.	904.056	
Total	11652.256	14.	832.304	.444

-2017-0102-027

1.5 5.1.137

.

1-1

EREC.

2.327

.....

१०५

l

reality, and it is relievenessed to

STATIS	TIC PURE GROUPS	Combi	ned Preference	
PRONUNC	STATICN			
Source	SUMSO	1)F	MG	_
Modes	745,282	2	10 270 (la	F
Within	383.159	2	J/2.0/11	
Total	1128 441	5	225.688	2.917
STRUCTU	RE			
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	171
Modes	LF6.682	2.	228 3h7	Ľ
within	983 . 135	3.	220. Jai 397 711	
Total	1439.817	5.	287.963	.696
CON TENT				
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	*
Modes	364. 584	2	182 202	£
Within	1093,750	3.	361, 582	
Total 1	14<8.334	5.	291.666	.500
GEN FRAL				-
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	
Modes	503.225	2	251 K1 0	F
Within	748.566	3	2)10 E00	
Total	1251.791	É.	249.722	1.008
STATI STI	C PURE GROUPS	No F	reference	
PRONUNCI	ATION			
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	-
Modes	72.886	2.	36 1.1.2	F
Within	2663.1126	9	205 026	
Total	2736.312	11.	248,755	.123
STRUCTUR	E			
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	
Modes	<u>336.058</u>	2.	168,029	•
Within	3330.777	9.	370,086	
Total	3666.835	11.	333.348	.454
CONTENT				
Source	SUMSQ	DF	MS	*
Modes	182.291	2.	91, 1/15	4
Within	2890.626	9.	321.180	
Total	3072.917	11.	297.356	.283
CENERAL		_		
-ource	SUMSO	DF	ms	F
170083 LH 414 -	177.621	2.	86. 110	
Total	7700.270	9.	309.585	
TAMET	79.9.091	11.	269.008	.279

D

ERIC Full Reast Provided by ERIC

:

arriso

2272777

12.24

106

na menodo a serie de la composition de la c La composition de la c

36

			2,7	
STATISTIC	MIXED GROUPS	Pictor	Lal Preference	
PRONUNCIA	ATION			
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F
Modes	603.150	2.	301.575	
Within	12532.810	18.	696.267	
Total	13125,960	20.	6 56. 798	.433
STRUCTURE	C			
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F
Modes	810.880	2.	405.440	
within	11833.540	18.	657.418	6 6
Total	12611.420	20.	632.221	.616
CONTENT				
Source	SIMSO	DF	MS	F
Modes	1086.310	?.	563.155	
within	13750.010	.8.	763.889	
Total	14836.320	20.	7h1.826	.711
GENERAL				
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F
Modes	814.160	2.	407.080	
Within	12438.020	18.	691,001	
Total	13?*2.180	20.	662.609	.589
STATISTI(C MIXED GROUPS	Combi	ned Preference	
PRONUNCIA	ATION			
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F
Modes	934.288	2.	h67.1hh	
Within	1107.950	9.	123.105	
Total	2042.238	11.	184.658	3.794
STRUCTURI	2			
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F
Modes	646.660	2.	328.330	
Within	1968.840	· 9.	218,760	
Total	2625.500	11.	238.681	1.500
CONTENT				
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F
Modes	963.541	2.	481.770	
Within	1796.876	9.	199.6°2	
Total	2760.117	11.	250.947	2.413
GENERAL				
Source	SUMSO	DF	ns	F
Modes	837.060	2.	418.530	
Within	<u> </u>	9.	767 376	
	14-1.040	<i>×</i> •		

1.00

1111

107

:

.

E

STATISTI	IC MIXED GROUPS	No Pre	No Preference			
PRONUNCI	ATION					
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F		
Modes	72.886	2.	36.443			
within	2663.126	9.	295.936			
Total	2736.312	11.	248.755	.123		
STRUCTUR	E					
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F		
Modes	336.058	2.	168,029			
within	3330.777	9.	370.086			
Total	3666.835	11.	333.348	.454		
CONTENT						
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F		
Modes	182.291	2.	91.145	-		
Mithin	2890.626	9.	321.180			
Total	3072.917	11.	279.356	.283		
GENERAL						
Source	SUMSO	DF	MS	F		
Modes	172.821	2.	86.410	-		
Within	2786.270	9.	309.585			
Total	2950.091	11.	269.008	.279		

5 •

*

R

þ

)

· __ ..

.

38

*****. .

A CROSS-ANALYSIS OF AUDIO RESULTS OF DIFFERENT

PREFERENCE LEARNERS

- 1

A) General (all 11 subjects).

B) In achievement groups under the audio mode.

A) Comparison of Audio Results with other Test Results of Phase 1 and 2.

Discussion

Phase 1:

JV

D

In comparing achievement scores of all subjects under the audio learning mode with those under the other modes in Phase 1, we find that practically no correlation exists between the Audio Mode and the Pictorial or Combined modes. This is what we would ave expected under the pictorial, but not necessarily under the combined. The fact that the Audio-Combined correlation shows -.08025 while the pictorial shows .12066 may be interpreted to mean that audio preference learners in general may show very low achievement under the added pictorial stimulus and even less under the combined. The investigators recall occurrences of students' holding their hands in front of their eyes in order to concentrate better and memorize audio stimuli. There are certainly audio preference learners whose achievement in audio reproduction deteriorates progressively with the addition of further stimuli. The subjects in our experiment who demonstrated this tendency may have had a certain limited degree of verbal intelligence as such and the mere fact of audio preference may not be the only factor to cause this. The investigators suggest that further research should try to establish in what relation the degree of verbal intelligence and the degree of diminished achievement stand.

In analyzing results in word learning in general, we must be aware that, as in all foreign language learning, psychological factors as well as linguistic factors do influence our results, However, in the case of word learning and especially in a transfer situation from English to German, the linguistic factors are more limited and thurefore more controllable independent variables than in the case of sentence learning. This can be explained simply through the fact that the general streps and tone pattern (e.g. dynamic accent) are almost entirely the same in English as in German. Perception, retention, and reproduction, therefore, may in the total picture, quantiatively speaking, be influenced by fewer additional factors than in sentence learning. These extraneous linguistic factors, as seen for instance in sound clusters not existing in the mother tongue. or foreign fricatives and differently articulated sonants or sonorants like /x/, /c/, /u/, /oe/, A/ and the trilled variant of r w exclusively used in the experiment, were carefully controlled in the vocabulary lists as was the syllabic composition of words (See: Evaluation of Linguistic Criteria). In consequence of this, the students could apply their preference of sensory imagery more directly in word learning than in the learning of structural patterns. Features of rising and falling intonation (or pitch and juncture differences) for instance, as recently investigated by DeLattre

(10

are certainly bound to influence the perceptualisation, retention, and reproduction process.

Phase 2:

ERIC

The analysis of the results under the audio learning mode of Bhase 1 with the different learning modes of Phase 2 was made in the three language skills of Pronunciation, Oral Mastery of Structure, and Retention of Content. According to the results, the highest correlation coefficient appears in structural learning under the pictorial mode. This seems to indicate that students doing well nder the audio mode in word learning would do well with additional pictorial stimuli in sentence learning. Such a correlation can also be seen in the areas of pronunciation and retention of content. No correlation with the audio results at all could be found in the analysis of achievement under the graphic and combined modes in any learning area. The addition of graphic stimuli does not establish a predictability of correlated performance.

CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS

Test Comparison Two Tests in Phase II

PHASE	LEARNING AREA	MODE	COEFFICIENT	
2 2	Pronunciation Pronunciation	Pictorial Graphic	-12008 -64258	individual learning areas and modes
2	Pronunciation	Combined	- 46762 -	
2	Structure	Pictorial	•27379	
2	Structure	Graphic	•74583	
2	Structure	Combined	.60607	
2	Content	Pictorial	•1 11 42	
2	Content	Graphic	•56533 -	
2	Content	Combined	. 46931 -	
2	General	Pictorial	.16371	overall learning areas
2	General	Graphic	.72574	
2	General	Combined	.51529 -	
2	Pronunciation	Average	.78100	averall modes in indi-
2	Structure	Average	.68871	vidual learning areas
Ē	Content	Average	.83218	-
2	General	Average	•77439	overall-overall general correlation

Comparison	of	Audio	Results	with
	Othe	r Test	t Results	3

Audio Results with	Word Learning	Pictorial	.12066
	Word Learning	Graphic	42977
	Word Learning	Combined	08025
Phase II	Pronunciati on	Pictorial	•40560 -
	Pronunciati on	Graphic	03465
	Pronunciation	Combined	•10207
	Structure	Pictorial	•49560 -
	Structure	Graphic	•04683
	Structure	Combined	•04562
	Content	Pictorial	-41657 -
	Content	Graphic	-03324
	Content	Combined	-04164
	General General General	Pictorial Graphic Combined	00688 03054
Test Results in Phy	ise II as a whole		.18009

Test Results in Phase II as a whole

ŧŋ

()

 $\left(\right)$

The

CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN RESULTS IN WORD LEARNING AUDIO MODE AND THE FOLLOWING LEARNING AREA AND LEARNING MODE

Top Group Students	4-5-6	(Raw Scores above 75) (Converted Scores above 84)
Word Learning	Pictorial	•95049*
Word Learning	Graphic	99794 *
Word Learning	Combined	•30593
Pronunciation	Pictorial	•71250 *
Pronunciation	Granic	·41429-
Pronunciation	Combined	.10401 -
Structure	Pictorial	•79979 *
Structure	Graphic	•37178 -
Structure	Combined	•99 993 *
Content	Pictorial	, 59603 -
Content	Graphic	.39736 -
Content	Combined	.11470 -
General	Pictorial	• 6976 0 *
General	Graphic	.39122 -
General	Combined	.31467 -

Raw Scores indicate the actual number of points achieved out of a total of 141. Converted Scores indicate score computed from raw score by a two-point linear adjustment (102 high 99, 67 median 80).

.

6-2

CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN RESULTS IN WORD LEARNING AUDIO MODE AND THE FOLLOWING LEARNING AREA AND LEARNING MODE

(Raw Scores between 60 and 75)

(Converted Scores between 77 and 84)

Middle Group Students 3-8-2-9-1

Per

()

()

ERIC

-22684-Word Learning Pictorial .36787-Word Learning-Graphic -.98325* Word Learning Combined -.77830 * Pronunciation Pictorial -.78550 * Pronunciation Graphic -.27817 -Promunciation Combined -.58897 -Structure Pictorial -.72637 * Structure Graphic Combined -.19352 -Structure -.76062 * Pictorial Content --84988 * Graphic Content -.62118 -Combined Content -.72694 * Pictorial General -.79407 * Graphic General General -.49858 -Con. ed

> CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN RESULTS IN WORD LEARNING AUDIO MODE AND THE FOLLOWING LEARNING AREA AND LEARNING MODE

Bottom Group Students 7-11-10

(Raw Scores below 60) (Converted Scores below 77)

Word Learning	Pictorial	•99844 *
Word Learning	Graphic	•53293 -
Word Learning	Combined	•.26275 -
Pronunciation	Pictorial	.50001 -
Pronunciation	Graphic	.83061 *
rronunciation	Combined	-,96017 *
Structure Structure Structure	Pictorial Graphic Combined	94051 *
Content Content Content	Pictorial Graphic Combined	•49999 -•49998
General	Pictorial	•52565
General	Graphic	•99502 *
General	Combined	-•97593 *

B) Achievement Groups *

Middle Group:

It is notable that the only significant correlation between audio performance in word learning and other modes and learning areas is negative. There is significant negative correlation throughout the different leaning disciplines in sentence learning between the audio performance and the pictorial and graphic performance. The most significant negative correlation, however, occurs between audio and combined modes in word learning. This seems to indicate that the average learner who has an audio preference must concentrate on the audio mode in order to learn. His performance is considerably hampered by the addition of both pictorial and graphic media in learning words, and by the addition of either medium in learning longer patterns and sentences. It is further indicated that those who have little audio preference tend to do better with the addition of one of these other media (pictorial cr graphic, depending on the individual preference) but are less predictable under the combined mode.

- 6 -

Bottom Group:

Here the correlation is also quite varied. There is a very high positive correlation between word learning under the audio and pictorial modes. The indication is that the students who are poor in audio face little better with the addition of pictorial stimuli. In the sentence learning areas of Phase 2, however, the pictorial performance is not so predictable: in this Phase the graphic coefficient is positive and significant in both structure and pronunciation, the conclusion being that the addition of the graphic stimulus alone is of little aid to the student whose audio performance is poor. Here, however, in the combined mode the correlation to the audio is significantly negative. This would seem to indicate that the student whose audio performance in word learning is poor will face considerably better in learning patterns and sentences with the aid of both additional media.

When it comes to the mere retention of content, this prediction is not certain. The results in the area of content, however, do not give any reliable degree of predictability. Further research will have to strictly separate facts of content which are Obviously influenced by ideational learning processes from habit-formed mechanical speech performance. This does not mean that a certain number of these ideational processes, such as analogy and association in language learning, cannot be trained to some extent; however, the performance shown in this experiment was not subsequent to an elaborate training program in these abilities and thus only measured performance under a certain mode in an ad hoc approach. Since we are here dealing with underachievers, it can quite safely be assumed that mere retention of content was to some extent influenced by mental capacity or aptitude and verbal intelligence. The interesting conclusion which may be made, however, is A one which will interest the programmer of remedial materials:

The combined mode does not seem to facilitate retention of content for underachievers in the audio mode, or in other words, additional stimuli for learning types weak in audio comprehension and oral reproduction reduce memorization ability in the higher processes of verbal thinking.

* For Top Group see p. 80

ERIC

RAW SCORES

AND PERCENTAGE SCORES

PRIMARY DATA

4

ł

8 .

•

J

ERIC Pruit first Provided by ERIC

RAW SCORES : WORD LEARNING (PHASE 1)

STUDENT	AUDIO	PICTORIAL	GRAPHIC	COMBINED
# 1	63	86	77	118
# 2	67	67	78	92
# 3	72	9 0	83	50
# 14	102	124	48	113
# 5	81	86	78	88
# 6	78	94	85	116
# 7	58	119	73	79
# 8	68	96	93	91
# 9	66	85	54	103
# 10	51	103	72	118
# 11	58	178	95	132
MAX.	144	յին	152	151

11

.

A

RA W SCORES : PRONUNCIATION (PHASE 2)

Max.	93	71	102	79	95	86	
# 11	51	71	102	78	95	63	
# 10	39	71	151	76	93	86	
# 9	38	70	31	44	89	35	
# 8	93	17	67	78	08	26	
# 7	39	71	100	78	94	70	
# 6	78	71	105	78	80	70	
# 5	-	7 0	Fb 7,5	55		35	
# 4	92	71	102	79	95	70	
# 3	0	26	25	0	66	46	
# 2	53	69	98	18	64	58	
#1	52	53	9 0	78	80	35	
STUDENT	PICTO	RIAL	GRA	PHIC	COMBI	INED	

ווח

Ð

STUDENT	PICTOR	TAL	GRAP	HIC	COMBI	INED	
# 1	ւր	16	25	27	23	15	
# 2	15	23	27	7	16	18	
# 3	0	10	8	(20	18	
# h	32	3 0	32	35	29	26	
# 5		29		20	dinjana	27	
#6	26	29	32	36	25	25	
# 7	10	26	32	35	29	26	
# 8	32	20	28	24	20	12	
# 9	11	28	11	17	26	13	
# 10	11	26	19	36	28	31	
# 11	20	30	3 0	35	29	24	
MAX.	32	30	32	36	29	35	

RAW SCORES

STRUCTURE (PHASE 2)

Full Exc Provided by ERIC

1-1

•

א ע

1. 2. 2. 2. 1. 1. 1. 1.

**************************************	100	100	100	100	100	100	
# 17.	75	100	110	100	100	100	
# 10	25	100	100	100	100	100	
# 9	25	100	50	50	1.00	50	
# 8	100	25	50	100	75	25	
# 7	25	100	100	100	100	75	
# 6	75	100	100	100	75	75	
# 5	~~	100	***	75		100	
# L	100	100	100	100	100	75	
# 3	0	25	25	ο	5 0	50	
# 2	50	100	100	25	50	75	
#1	50	75	75	100	75	50	
STUDENT	PICT	ORIAL	GRA	PHIC	COMB	INED	

CONTENT (PHASE 2)

44

RAW SCORES

time fundamental and the second second

MAX.

÷

ř.

•

70

,

ERIC.

10 36.1 "TL.5" 47.4			8 47.3 7 66.6, c61.3 60.3 61.97	7 hi.2. ~ 82.7 h8.1 ' 52.3 1 70.967	6 55.4 3 55.3 55.9 76.8 91.935	5 57.5 5 59.8 51.3 53.7 7 98.590	4 72.3 . 86.2 32.6	3 .21.8 . 25.23 .21.6 . 33.1. 18.309	2 946.8 dec.6 52.3 60.8 1 77.086	1 JJ., 7 \$5.6 \$0.6 75.3 64.280	Audio Pict. Graph, Comb. Pict.	TUU, WORD LI ARNING PRO	PHASE 1 (Percent Scores)	INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL
A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL	97.611	h3.044	82.210	98, 386	99.367	69.620	100.000	12.254	59.431	93.484	Graph	UNCIATIO		OSSIBLE ESTS OF
	98.947	67.190	57.221	90.171	82.802	98.836	90.697	61.481	67.405	62.444	Comb.	Ň	PH	SCORES 4 PHASE 2
** *** * *	60.520	62.187	66.666	62.291	88,958	96.667	100.000	16.666	61.770	48.541	Fict.	STRUC	MSE 2 (F	CHIEVED
	79.687	40.798	77.083	98.611	100.000	55.555	98.611	12.500	45.659	76.562	Graph.	TURE	ercentag	IN THE F
	92.561	63.399	51.625	87.142	78.817	77.142	87.U2	60.197	53.300	61.083	Comb.		es)	OUR TESI
	62.500	62.500	62.500	62,500	87.500	100.000	100.000	12.500	75.000	62.500	Pict.	CC CC		IS OF PHA
	100.000	50.000	75.000	100.000	100.000	75.000	100.000	12.500	62.500	87.500	Graph.	NTENT		ISE 1 AN
3	100.000	75.000	50.000	87.500	75.000	100,000	87.500	50.000	62.500	62.500	Comb.			THE TWO

ŧ . .!

150

Full and Partial Retention in Sentence Learning

the Four Quartile Ranges of Achievement

	First (0-2	uart11e !5%)*	Second (26-	Quartile 50%)	Third ((51-	Quartile 75%)	Four th (76-	Quar 1 19 100%)
Sense Mode 11 ty	Full Rete	Fartial ntion **)	Full Rete	Partial ntion	Full Ret	Partial ention	Full Rete	Partia ntion
PI CTORI AL	18.2	81.8	36. 4	18.2	36 . 4	0	9 • 1	0
GRAPHI C	27.3	54.5	27.3	45 . 5	27.3	o	18.2	Ō
COMBINED	18.2	72.7	36.4	9.1	27.3	18.2	18.2	0

*) This column represents achievement in retention and partial retention of (0-25%) subject matter.

++) Percents of student population.

ERIC.

See: Explanatory Note on p. 122

A high correlation coofficient would mean that, fivon a quartile there Not: is little variance in the size of the student population in that quartile between achievement in word learning and in sentence learning under the given mode and degree of retention. We observe that there is a high correlation in full retention under the combined mode and in partial retention under the pictorial mode. In the graphic mode there is fair correlation of percentages of student population in both full and partial retention. An analysis of the actual breakdown shows that this correlation is weakened by a tendency in the student population to be more equally distributed among the first and second (and in full retention, the third) q wartiles in sentence learning than in word learning. In partial retention under the combined mode the correlation of breakdown in the student population is also fair but not significant. Here there is a notable shift of a large segment of the population from the second to the first quartile as the population passes from word learning to sentence learning. This would seem to indicate that the lower average students under the combined mode had considerably more difficulty with sentence learning than with word learning. In full retention, pictorial mode, the correlation is poor. An examination of the distribution of the population here shows a marked emigration from the first and second quartiles into the second and third quartiles respectively. It seems, therefore, that more students found sentence learning easier than word learning under the pictorial mode.

In conclusion it should be mentioned that under the graphic and combined modes there was a considerable increase in full retention of sentences not ceable in the fourth q uartile ~ange of student achievement.

175

52

()

ERIC

Full Retention Partial Rete PICTORIAL + 0.429 + 0.994	GFAPHIC + 0.694 + 0.765	CCMBINED + 0.999 + 0.648
	Full Retention Partial Retention + 0.429 + 0.994	Full Retention Partial Retention + 0.429 + 0.994 + 0.694 + 0.765

?

Correlation of Full and Partial Retention in Phases 1 and 2

٩.

123

3

- A

ERIC-

an this double the

54

OVERALL SCORES IN PHASE 1 GENERAL ACHIEVEMENT SCORES

(1) In Numerical Order:

S a

5

S.

A A

ERIC Pruiteac Provided by ERIC

STUDENT	FER CENT
1	58.301662
2	51.572110
3	50.320145
4	66.216225
5	56 °690737
6	63.334790
7	56,029455
8	59. 085680
9	52.393627
10	58,303022
11	68,249100

(2) By Achievement Groups:

	And the second stress of the second stress of the
STUDENT	PER CENT
3	50,320145
2	51.572110
9	52,393627
7	56.029455
5	56.690737
1	58.301662
10	68.303022
8	159.085680
6	63,354700
4	66.216225
11	/ . 349100
	/

APPENDIX

D

ڊ ب

ERIC.

Į

Test Words Ranked by Degree of Difficulty

.

as Represented in Frequency of Non-Retention*

Test I (Audio-Type)

Group I.

Í

Group]	II.
---------	-----

Word	No. of Students	Word	No. of Students
Riemen	7	Wolke	11
Weiche	7	Faden	10
Stirne	7	Kerze	10
Faden	7	Sparre	10
Wange	7	Rühe	9
Kerze	7	Kragen	9
Blatt	7	Mütze	Š
Sparre	7	Schweif	8
Holzscheit	7	Rasen	8
Topf	6	Brett	7
Strauch	6	Stirne	7
Brett	6	Wange	Ż
Kleid	6	Riemen	Ġ
Rauch	6	Stiel	6
Wolke	6	Kleid	5
Kragen	6	Strauch	Ĺ
Mutze	5	Weiche	Ъ
Wipfel	5	Blatt	й
Küche	5	Holzscheit	h
Wand	5	Schneide	3
Rübe	5	Wand	3
Schurze	5	Schürze	3
Stiel	4	Topf	2
Schneide	24	Küche	2
Rasen	4	Messer	2
Messer	3	Wipfel	ō
Baum	2	Baum	0
Schweif	1	Rauch	ŏ
Oberschenkel	1	Oberschenke]	õ
Schnauze	Ō	Schnauze	õ

* Non-retention is represented by the number of students who did not know the word on the test.

 \mathcal{I}

Test Words Ranked by Degree of Difficulty

as Represented in Frequency of Partial Retention*

Test I (Audio-Type)

Group I.

))

ERIC.

Group II.

Word	No. of Students	Word	No. of Students
Schnauze	5	Wipfel	6
Mutze	2	Weiche	6
Küche	2	Kuche	ĥ
Rasen	2	Blatt	ĥ
Schweif	ì	Stiel	3
Strauch	1	Stirne	3
Brett	ī	Holzscheit	3
Schneide	1	Schnauze	3
Wand	1	Tenf	2
Kragen	ī	Mutze	2
Schürze	ī	Schweif	2
Topf	ō	Strauch	2
Riemen	0	Brett	2
Stiel	Ō	Kleid	2
Wipfel	Ō	Rauch	2
Rübe	Ō	Oberschenkel	2
Kleid	Ō	Schneide	ī
Baum	Ō	Wand	1
Weiche	Ō	Wange	ī
Stirne	Ō	Rasen	ī
Faden	Ō	Kragen	ī
Rauch	õ	Schlinze	ī
Wange	Ō	Riemen	ō
Kerze	Ō	Ribe	õ
Blatt	Ū.	Baum	õ
Wolke	Ō	Faden	õ
Sparre	0 .	Kerze	õ
Holzscheit	Ō	Wolke	Õ
Oberschenkel	Õ	Sparre	Õ
Messer	õ	Messer	õ

* Partial retention is represented by the numberoof students who made errors on the word on the test.

 \mathbb{I}

Test Words Ranked by Degree of Difficulty

as Represented in Frequency of Non-Retention

Test II (Pictorial-Type)

Group I.

P V

Ì

ERIC Full fact Provided by ENIC Group II.

Word	No. of Students	Word	No. of Students
Blute	7	Schüssel	9
Rodel	7	Rock	8
Strumpf	6	Tute	6
Sprosse	6	Sprosse	6
Korb	6	Hals	6
Schirm	6	Kloss	6
Fichte	62	Rodel	5
Hals	6	Fichte	5
Schnalle	5	Schnalle	4
Schussel	5	Strumpf	4
Stiefel	5	Korb	<u>)</u>
Rock	5	Z 注un	4
Spange	4	Stief el	3
Strickstrumpf	4	Spange	3
Zaun	Ц	Deichsel	3
Kralle	3	Riss	3
Schwanz	2	Strickstrumpf	3
Tute	2	Schwanz	2
Deichsel	2	Kralle	2
Riss	2	Schirm	2
Kirche	2	Sessel	2
Ast	2	Loch	1
Kloss	2	Ast	1
Fensterladen	2	Schornstein	0
Schornstein	1	Blüte	9
Loch	1	Klingel	Õ
Sessel	1	Bauch	0
Mantel	1	Kirche	0
Klingel	0	Mantel	0
Bauch	0	Fen ^g :srlade n	0
as Represented in Frequency of Partial Retention

Test II (Pictorial-Type)

Group I.

Full first Provided by ERIC

Group II.

Word	No. of Students	Word	No. of Students
Tute	4	Loch	6
Ast	Ĺ	Zaur	6
Schwanz	Ś	Schornstein	5
Spange	8	Bauch	5
Kralle	3	Blüte	4
Kirche	3	Sprosse	· 4
Schnalle	2	Riss	4
Schussel	2	Ast	4
Loch	2	Schnalle	3
Deichsel	2	Schwanz	3
Strickstrumpf	2	Spange	3
Zaun	2	Korb	3
Fensterladen	2	Rock	3
Schornstein	1	Strickstr upf	3
Strumpf	1	Schirm	3
Sprosse	1	Fichte	3
Korb	1	Sessel	3
Klingel	1	Tüte	2
Rock	1	Kralle	2
Riss	1	Deichsel	2
Bauch	1	Rodel	2
Schirm	1	Hals	2
Sessel	1	Kloss	2
Blüte	0	Mantel	2
Stiefel	0	Stiefel	1
Rodel	0	Strumpf	1
Fichte	0	Klingel	1
Hals	0	Kirche	1
Kloss	0	Schüssel	0
Mantel	0	Fensterladen	0

TU

as Represented in Frequency of Non-Retention

Test III (Verbal Image-Type)

Group I.

5

l

()

PUILTERE Provided by ERIC

نر يا

Group II.

Word	No. of Students	liord	Na 🗹 Students
Schöpfung	7	Strahl	10
Zettel	7	Schwelle	10
Wicht	7	Schlauch	10
Gewissheit	6	Schubfach	10
Lücke	6	Schlips	9
Schopf	5	Karzer	9
Schlips	5	Streich	9
Schlauch	5	Lücke	9
Strahl	4	Wicht	8
Karzer	4	Schöpfung	7
Streich	4	Recke	7
Stimme	3	Klampfe	7
Tëlpel	3	Kringel	7
Wanze	3	Gicht	6
Schubfach	3	Gewissheit	5
Braten	2	Salbe	5
Spritze	2	Wanze	5
Metzger	2	Schopf	4
Schwelle	2	Böller	24
Kringel	2	Metzger	3
Hirsch	Ş	Zettel	3
Aberglaube	1 1	Tölpel	2
Riese	1	Rieze	2
Recke	1	Zug	1
Gicht	1	Hirsch	1
Büller	1	Stimme	0
Dorf	1	Braten	0
Zug	0	Spritze	0
Klampfe	0	Aberglaube	0
Salbe	0	Dorf	0

 \bigvee

as Represented in Frequency of Partial Retention

Test III (Verbal Image-Type)

Group I.

())

ERIC AFullFaxt Provided by ERIC Group II.

Word	No. of Students	Vord	No. of Students
Gicht	4	Tölpel	6
Spritge	3	Zug	5
Telpel	3	Riese	Ĩ
Klampfe	3	Zettel	ñ
Böller	3	Böller	й
Zug	2	Wanze	й Т
Riese	2	Hirsch	й
Strahl	2	Gicht	3
Schwelle	2	Schopf	2
Salbe	2	Schoofung	2
Wanze	2	Klampfe	2
Karzer	2	Kringel	2
Schubfach	2	Salbe	2
Aberglaube	1	Stimme-	ĩ
Recke	1	Braten	ī
Kringel	1	Spritze	ī
Lücke	1	Gewissheir	ī
Hirsch	1	Wicht	ī
Stimme	0	Schlauch	ī
Braten	0	Streich	ī
Schopf	0	Lücke	ī
Gewissheit	0	Aberglauba	ō
Schlips	0	Strahl	0
Metzger	0	Schlips	0
Schöpfung	0	Metzger	Ō
Zettel	0	Recke	Ō
Wicht	0	Schwelle	Õ
Schlauch	0	Karzer	Õ
Streich	0	Schubfach	Ŏ
Dorf	0	Dorf	0

as Represented in Frequency of Non-Retent on

Test IV (Audio-Pictorial-Werbal Type)

Group I.

ERIC Prui fext Provided by ERIC Group II.

Word	No: of Students	Word	No. of Students
Schleife	7	Rute	11
Kübel	, 7	Schleife	
Tasche	Ġ	Kübel	9
Schnur	6	Gabel	8
Xranz	6	Schnur	5
Rute	6	Stengel	Ś
Gabel	2	Kranz	ξ.
Latz	$\overline{\mathbf{L}}$	Latz	5
Dolch	Ĩ.	Rücken	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Reifen	ũ	Krug	·
Zopf	3	Span	T,
Dach	3	Dolch	*
Schnabel.	3	Reifen	11
Kopf	3	Teller	7
Krug	3	Speiche	2
Absatz	2	Nüstern	2
Docht.	2	Masering	2
Teller	2	Schnabel	2
Pantoffel.	2	Pantoffel	2
Nüstern	2	Dach	2
Haube	ī	Absatz	2
Balken	1	Zopf	2
Rücken	3	Rist	ī
Stenge1	ī	Kopf	ī
Span	1	Balken	ī
Rist	ī	Haube	ī
Maserung	0	Tasche	ī
Jäger	0	Docht	ī
Speiche	0	Jäger	
Strampelhose	0	Strampelhcse	ō

as Represented in Frequency of Partial Retention

Test IV (Audio-Pictorial-Verbal Type)

Gre	oup	I.
Contraction of the local division of the loc		and the second second

0

())

i

ERCC*

Group II.

Word	No. of Students	Word	No. of Students
Speiche	6	Docht	7
Nüstern	5	Strampelhose	Ġ
Stengel	Ĺ	Hicken	5
Haube	3	Nüstern	ŕ
Dolch	3	Zopf	Ĩ.
Reifen	3	Balken	\vec{J}_1
Docht	2	Speiche	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Dach	2	Reifen	7.
Pantoffel	2	Dach	4
Schnabel.	2	Schnabel	3
Rücken	2	Stengel	3
Strampelhose	2	Dolch	3
Zopf	ĩ	Maserung	1
Absatz	ī	Schnur	2
Tasche	ī	Kopf	2
Balken	ī	Aist	2
Jäger	ī	Hambe	1
Span	ĩ	Pantoffel	ī
Kranz	ī	Span	ī
Rist	ī	Kranz	ī
Maserung	Ō	Krug	ī
Teller	0	Latz	ĩ
Gabel	ŏ	Absatz	ō
Schnur	Ō	Teller	õ
Schleife	Ō	Tasche	ŏ
Kübel	0	Gabel	õ
Kopf	Ō	Jäger	ő
Krug	Ō	Schleife	õ
Latz	Õ	Kilbel	ő
Rute	Õ	Rute	ő
	-		v

Frequency Distribution of Scores (Errors)*

()

()

Word Number	Word not Known	Four Mistakes	Three Mistakes	Two Mistakes	One Mistake	Word Correct
1.	6					1
2.	5			1	l	-
3. 1.	1				1	5
4. E	7 1.					
<i>5</i> • 6.	4					3
7.	6			٦		2
8.	5			1	٦	
9.	6				ī	
10.	4				ī	2
11.	5			l		1
12.	5					2
1).	2					ļ
15.	7					5
16.	7					
17.	Ŷ					
18.	6					1
19.	7					
20.	4			2		1
22.	7					
23.	6					**
2l1.	6				٦	7
25.	7				-	
26.	7					6
27.	1					
20.	2				1	l
30.	2			0	~	4
2 · · ·				٢	و	3

Test I (Audio-Type)

*E.G., the word <u>Holzscheit</u> was assigned a score value of 8 representing the 8 phonemes comprising it. A number of students remembered only <u>holz</u> which represents 4 phonemes. These students therefore were classified as having made 4 mistakes. Each phoneme which was pronounced erroneously within a particular word represented 1 error made on this word.

Frequency Distribution of Scores (Errors)

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Word Number	Word not Known	Four Mistakes	Three Mistakes	Two Mistakes	One Mistake	Word Correct	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.	2	•			2	7	
3. 8 1 1 1 4. 6 3 2 5. 6 2 4 5 6. 2 4 5 5 7. 4 2 5 5 8. 2 1 3 5 9. 7 1 2 2 10. 3 1 7 12. 9 1 7 1 13. 5 2 4 1 14. 2 1 1 1 15. 4 2 1 1 16. 7 2 2 9 1 18. 2 2 2 1 1 22. 4 2 2 2 3 21. 10 2 2 1 1 26. 4 2 1 2 9 27. 2 2 1 6 9 29. 2 1	2.	8			1	1	, 1	
4. 6 3 2 $5.$ 6 2 $4.$ 5 $6.$ 2 $4.$ 5 $7.$ $4.$ 2 $4.$ 5 $8.$ 2 2 5 $9.$ 7 $10.$ 3 5 2 2 2 $10.$ 3 1 7 1 7 $11.$ 3 1 7 1 7 $11.$ 3 2 4 11 11 $12.$ 9 2 4 11 11 $13.$ 5 2 4 11 11 $14.$ 2 1 1 11 2 $19.$ 7 1 3 2 2 3 $19.$ 7 2 2 2 2 3 1 $10.$ 2 2 2 2 3 1 1	3.	8			ī	ī		
5. 6 2 $\frac{3}{4}$ $\frac{2}{5}$ 7. $\frac{4}{4}$ 2 $\frac{5}{5}$ $\frac{2}{5}$ 8. 2 1 $\frac{3}{5}$ $\frac{2}{5}$ 9. 7 1 $\frac{3}{7}$ $\frac{2}{1}$ 10. 3 1 $\frac{7}{1}$ $\frac{7}{1}$ 11. 3 1 $\frac{7}{1}$ $\frac{7}{1}$ 12. 9 2 $\frac{4}{1}$ $\frac{11}{1}$ 13. 5 2 $\frac{4}{1}$ $\frac{11}{1}$ 14. 2 $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ 15. $\frac{1}{4}$ 2 $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ 16. 7 2 $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ 18. 2 2 $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ 22. $\frac{1}{4}$ 2 $\frac{2}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ 23. 11 $\frac{2}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{2}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ 24. 9 2 $\frac{2}{2}$ $\frac{2}{2}$	4.	6			-	-	Ť	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5.	6				3	5	
7. 4 2 5 8. 2 1 3 5 9. 7 2 2 1 10. 3 1 7 2 2 11. 3 1 7 2 2 13. 5 2 4 1 1 $15.$ 4 2 4 1 1 $16.$ 7 2 4 1 1 $16.$ 7 2 9 1 1 $18.$ 2 2 3 1 2 $22.$ 4 2 2 3 1 2 $22.$ 4 2 2 3 1 2 2 $23.$ 11 2 2 3 2 2 3 $22.$ 4 2 1 1 1 1 1 $22.$ 4 2 1 1	6.				2	Ĺ	รั	
8. 2 1 3 5 $10.$ 3 1 7 $11.$ 3 1 7 $11.$ 3 1 7 $12.$ 9 2 4 $13.$ 5 2 4 $14.$ 11 7 $15.$ 4 2 1 $16.$ 7 2 1 $17.$ 10 1 3 $20.$ 8 2 2 $21.$ 10 2 2 $23.$ 11 2 1 $24.$ 9 2 1 1 $25.$ 10 2 1 1 $26.$ 4 2 1 4 $27.$ 2 9 1 1 $26.$ 4 2 1 6 $29.$ 2 1 6 9 $29.$ $29.$ $29.$?.	4				Ž	Ś	
9. 7 2 2 10. 3 1 7 11. 3 1 7 12. 9 2 4 13. 5 2 4 14. 11 11 7 15. 4 2 4 11 16. 7 2 1 1 17. 10 2 9 1 3 18. 2 9 1 3 2 2 19. 7 1 3 2 2 3 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 <	8.	2			1	3	5	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9.	7				2	ź	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10.	3				1	$\overline{7}$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11.	3				1	7	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12.	9					2	
14. 2 4 1 $15.$ 4 2 4 1 $16.$ 7 2 1 1 $17.$ 10 2 1 1 $18.$ 2 9 1 3 $20.$ 8 2 9 1 3 $20.$ 8 2 2 3 1 2 $21.$ 10 2 2 3 1 2 $22.$ 4 2 2 3 1 2 $24.$ 9 2 1 1 1 $26.$ 4 2 1 1 1 $27.$ 2 1 2 9 1 6 $29.$ 2 1 9 1 6 9 9 $20.$ 3 3 3 3 9 1 6 9 9 1 9 $29.$ 2 3 3 3 3 3 9 1 1 </td <td>13.</td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td></td>	13.	5				2	4	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14.	•					11	
16. 7 2 1 1 $17.$ 10 1 1 1 $18.$ 2 9 1 3 $19.$ 7 1 3 1 2 $20.$ 8 1 2 9 1 3 $20.$ 8 1 2 2 3 1 2 $21.$ 10 2 2 2 3 1 2 $23.$ 11 2 2 3 1 1 1 $24.$ 9 2 1 1 1 1 1 $24.$ 9 2 1 1 1 1 1 $26.$ 4 2 1 4 2 9 2 9 $28.$ 3 2 9 2 9 2 9 $30.$ 2 2 3 3 3 3 3	15.	4			2	4	1	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10. 10.	7			2	1	1	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17.	10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				l	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10.	-				2	9	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19.	7				1	3	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20.	0				1	2	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21.	10			•		1	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22.	4			2	2	3	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2).	<u> </u>					-	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24.	7				1	1	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22.	10	0	-			1	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20.	4	6	T		•	4	
29. 2 30. 2	28	3				2	9	
30. 2	29	2				Ŧ	0	
	30.	E ,				2	У 9	

X

Test I (Audio-Type)

ERIC.

N N N

1-

JU

Group I

Frequency Distribution of Scores (Errors)

Test II (Pictorial-Type)

Vord Number	Word not Known	Four Mistakes	Three <u>Mistakes</u>	Two Mistakes	One Mistake	Vord Correct
1. 2.	1 5			ĺ	2	5
3. 4.	25		1 1	A	2 1	2
2• 6• 7•	2 7 5			2	2	1
8. 9.	4 6 6		1		2 1	L
11. 12.	63			1	1 1 2	1
13. 14. 15.	1			2	1 2	64
16. 17.	52			E	1 1) 1 4
10. 19. 20.	7 ኴ	1	ĩ	l		6
21. 22.	4 6	_	-		2 1	ĩ
25. 24. 25.	0 2 6			1	2	1 2 1
26. 27. 28	2 2				1	15
29. 30.	1 2				1 2	5 6 3
					•	-

Xı

)

)

ERIC Auli fort Provided by ERIC

· Group II.

-

Frequency Distribution Of Scores (Errors)

Test II (Pictorial Type)

Word	Word not	Four	Three	Two Mi at aka a	One Mistolm	Word
TUBLICOL	MIOWII	MISUARES	MISUARES	MIS VARES	MLS vake	UNTECU
1.		٦		2	2	6
2.) ,	-		2	2	1.
3	4			†	2	4
، ا.	2			T I	2	0
4.	y k				•	2
2.	Ο.			-	2	3
0.	_			2	2	7
7.	3		1			7
8.	3		1		2	5
9.	L.			1		6
10.	6		2	ĩ	1	1
11.	1.		•	3		h
12.	2				2	7
13.	6.				ר ר	า่อ
1).	٦			0	1.	1.
-4.⊕ 1.⊄	46 ()			2	4	4
1 .	Ş			7	T	0
10.	8				3	•
17.	3				4	4
18.	5			1	1	4
19.					5	6
20.	3				3	5
21.	Ŀ			հ	2	ì
22.	2			ī	2	6
23.	ភ្			n '	2	à
21	~			-	1	10
24	6			0	alla	3
25.	1			۲	1.	2
20 e	T			•	4	5
21 •	0		-	2	•	2
20.	2		1		2	0
29.					2	9
30.						11

))

C

ERIC Full taxe Provided by ERIC XII

Frequency Distribution of Scores (Errors)

Test III (Verbal Image-Type)

A. C.

()

Word Number	Word not Known	Four Mistakes	Three Mistakes	Two Mistakes	One Mistake	Word Correct
1.	3					ų
2.	2				•	2
3 •	0			3	2	2
4. K	2		r	2	1	2
5.	ר ב		4	4	*	2
7.	1				٦	۲ ۲
8.	1				$\frac{1}{2}$) h
9.	л́.				2	ĩ
10.	Ğ				-	ī
11.	5					Ē
12.	2					5
13.	7		•			.
14.	1				1	5
15.			1	1	1	Ĩ.
16.	7					
17.	1				4	2
18.	2			1	1	3
19.	2			1		4
20.	-		1	1		5
21.	7					
22.	1			2	1	3
23.	3		1		1	2
24.	5		_		_	2
25.	4		1		1	1
26.	ц				_	3
27.	D				1	-
20.	3				2	2
27.	T T				•	0
•∪و	2				1	4

Frequency Distribution of Scores (Errors)

.

Test III (Verbal-Image Type)

Word Number	Word not Known	Four Mistakes	Three Mistakes	Two Mistakes	One Mistake	Word Correct
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1 2			3	1 1 5 1 3 1	10 10 5 10 2 5
7. 8. 9. 10. 71.	4 2 10 5 9 3	1		-	4	11 5 1 5 2 8
13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	7 7 7 3 6 10		l	1 1	2 1 3 2 1	2 4 2 4 2 1
19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	7 5 8 4 5		1 1	1	1 1 3 4	2 4 2 3 2
25. 26. 27. 28. 29.	9 9 9 10			A	1 1	2 1 0 1 11
30° .	1			1	3	6

XIV

Frequency Distribution of Scores (Errors)

ERIC.

Section and the section of the secti

Test IV (Audio-Pictorial-Verbal Type)

Word Number	Word not Known	Four Mistakes	Three Mistakes	Two Mistakes	One Mistake	Word Correct
	والمتراجع المستهد وتحتر المكتم المتعادي المركب					
1.						7
2.	3				1	•
3.	2				-	հ
4.	2		1	1		3
5.	3		·	ī	2	ź
6.	2					5
7.	6				1	•
8.	Ĩ.				2	3
9.	1			1.		5
10.	4			1		3
11.	2				1	3
12.				1	1	6
13.	3				2	2
14.	6					1
15.	7					
16.	1		1		1	և
17.	7					
18.	2				5	
19.	1			1	3	8
20.			2		4	1
21.	1				1	5
22.					2	5
23.	3					4
24.	6			1		
25.	3					4
26.	4					3
27.	4			1	2	
28.	6					1
29.	1				1	5
30.	4			2	1	-

Frequency Distribution of Scores (Errors)

R.

ERIC Full Rest Provided by ERIC

Test IV (Audic-Pictorial-Verbal Type)

Number	Known	Four Mistakes	Three <u>Mistakes</u>	Two Mistakes	One Mistake	Word Correct
1. 2.	:.2 2 2				2 4	7 5
4. 5. 6. 7.	1 2 3 1			3	4 3	3 6 8
8. 9. 10. 11.	1 11 8 2		2		1 2 1	9 6 3 8
12. 13. 14. 15.	2 5 9		1	1	2 1	11 6 4 2
16. 17. 18. 19.	4 9 2 5		1 2	2 2 1	3 2	2 2 4 3
20. 21. 22. 23.	2 4 1		2 1 2	1	2 3 2	56 58
24 • 25 • 26 • 27 •	5 4 5 3			1	1 1 3	5655
28. 29. 30.	11 1 3				2 4	8 4

Individual Word Scores

5.

.

z

of Test I (Audio-Type)

Word Number	Student							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	4114454441	4404052415	4404404445	4444044445	4404054245	0204054440	0404454140	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	4443465345	4440465040	4440465345	4003465345	0440465342	2040465342	4540465345	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	5456589051	5456580501	5406580550	5456580551	5456580100	5451580502	5456580500	
Total number of mistakes	122	99	110	115	98	88	103	
Total Scores	18	42	30	25	43	53	37	

X V //

Individual Word Scores

U

ERIC Afull fact Provided by ERIC Of Test I (Audio-Type)

Word Number			Studen	Student				
	1	2.	3	4	5	6		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	0444500045	1400400440	041411441	0144020005	0 4 4 0 4 0 1 0	0040404240		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	4440115045	0440465145	0440225040	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 1 6 5 0 0 5	0400165005		
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	5156500101	0056580000	5256540000	5451501001	5056000550	5450504050		
Total number of mistakes Total Scores	78 63	74 66	69 73	39 102	60 81	63 78		

X V ///

	Ţ	ndividual Word	Scores			
	01	Test I (Audi	.o-Type)			
Word Number			Student			
	7	8	9	10	11	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	0440411041	044011011	124412014	442441400	44400414	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	5 1440465010	0440265045	04400125145	54410465045	0405045	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	5156580500	5256580000	5056580001	5456540500	5456530100	
Total number of mistakes Total Scores	83 58	73 67	75 66	90 51	83 58	

٠

ć

P,

P. C

XIX

Individual Word Scores

of Test II (Pictorial-Type)

+ 0>

j

land Number				Student			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	8555255455	2515050155	0105455155	0555455455	0103250411	0531155355	0515155455
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	4501211424	4110530409	4000 033409	4503533409	1500030400	4 1 0 1 0 0 4 0 9	4 2 0 0 2 3 0 4 0 3
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	1455000500	3452414000	0400410060	3455424100	1151410001	3451410001	3 4 5 0 4 2 0 0 0 10
Total number of mistakes Total Scores	103 41	79 65	69 75	107 37	ЦЦ 100	72 72	82 62

Individual Word Scores

of Test II (Pictorial-Type)

(T)

的》

ERIC Pruil Text Provided by ERIC

rd Number	Student							
	1	2	3	4	5	6		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	2105413425	4225425355	0150120053	0505100101	1005405403	0055000152		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	0011533400	2002231219	よびのことでのよう	4001011000	2 0 3 0 3 1 4 1 9	4 0 0 0 3 0 1 9		
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	2 1 0 0 4 0 4 3 0 0	31214141	1010212500		3050414510	1250222100		
Total number of mistakes	58	77	54	20	68	50		

χχ/

Server and the providence of the server of t

Individual Word Scores

;

1

0

1

Ş

of Test II (Pictorial-Type)

XXII

Individual Word Scores

· • •

of Test III (Verbal Image-Type)

Mond Number	Student								
word number	به ده	2	3	4	5	6	7		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	5602201058	0 0 1 6 3 3 9 0 5 8	50000000 50000000000000000000000000000	5606130408	0 0 0 6 3 0 1 1 8	0 0 1 2 6 3 0 0 1 8	0001630158		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	5051340102	5050244520	0054041000	5050041500	5650041060	00500410000	5650140263		
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	4154654601	4234304600	4050054600	4014654044	4 2 0 0 6 0 1 1 0 0	4004154000	4554604104		
Total number of mistakes	86	88	48	85	60	49	89		
Total Scores	66	64	304	67	92	103	63		

χχ///

and the second secon

1 27 ...

D

6

1979 (J. 1977)

Individual Word Scores

الم الم الم الم الم الم الم المالية الم المعالية الم المالية المالية المالية الم المحالية المحالية الم المالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالة المحالة المحالة المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحال

er kraft

of Test III (Verbal Image-type)

Word Number			Stu	ldent			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	0 0 1 0 1 0 0 5 8	1 0 1 0 1 3 0 1 5 0	0 1 0 2 0 0 1 5 0	0 3 0 6 3 0 4 5 8	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 5 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 0	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	5054604565	5050614513	5054044560	5650644505	5654623530	5000604565	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	4 0 2 0 5 1 6 0 1	4114654601	4054024602	4554650600	0214654600	4114654600	
Total numbar of mistakes Total Scores	75 77	74 78	69 83	104 48	74 78	67 85	

χχιν

.

Individual Word Scores

بديميد وموجد

77 g C*

of Test III (Verbal Image-Type)

-

•.. 2

 $\langle < \rangle$

) 10 - • •

ERIC Pull taxt Provided by ERIC 10

Word Number			Student		
	7	8	9	10	11
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	0 0 1 0 2 2 0 0 5 4	0 0 0 6 3 0 0 0 8	0 0 1 3 3 0 1 5 8	0 0 0 0 2 0 0 5 8	0010100450
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	0004240565	5650601000	5054114565	5014611560	0 0 1 4 0 0 0 5 6 1
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	0554054004	4014604000	4554654601	1554654600	4004654600
Total number of mistakes	79	59	98	80	57
Total Scores	73	93	54	72	95

XXV

Retention Scores Achieved through Different Modes of Instruction

(Expressed in Percentages)

Student No. 1

)

ERIC Pruli Exc Provided by ERIC Sensory Image Type (Phase 1): C (#P) Sensory Image Type (Phase 2): G (#C)

L

	Pronunciation			51	Structure			Content		
	ML	M2	Мз	M	M2	Mz	M	^M 2	Мз	
	95	100	100	90 . 91	100	85.71	+	+	+	
	0	95•45	0	0	82.50	Ο	-	+	-	
	0	95•55	100	0	100	100		4	+	
	88.24	0	100	100	0	80	4	-	4	
m	45.81	72.75	75	47.73	70.62	66.43	50	75	75	

Test II

 100	90	0	08	50	0	+	4	•••	
0	100	93.75	0 80	72•73	100	-	1 .	+	
92.86	100	0	60	84.61	0	+	+	.	
100	100	95-24	90	75	100	4	4	+	

XXVI

Retention Scores Achieved through Different Modes of Instruction

(Expressed in Percentages)

Sensory Image Type (Phase 1): A (4P4C)

Student No. 2

2 D

Sensory Image Type (Phase 2): (P)

Te	st	I
		_

	Pr	onunciati	on	S	tructure			Content	
	M	M2	M3	ML	M2	М. Э	М	M2	M_ 3
	97.44	100	90. 48	100	100	71.43	+	+	+
	0	100	0	0	62,50	0	-	+	-
	0	97•78	100	0	63.55	84.61	-	4	+
	88•24	66.66	0	100	50	0	#	4	-
m	46.42	91.11	47.62	50	69.01	39.01	50	100	50
					Test II				
	96.1 5	100	95 •24	70	87.50	71.43	4	+	+
	100	ο	ο	100	ο	0	4		-
	94.12	ο	100	60	ο	87.50	+	-	+
	100	Ο	95.65	100	0	75	4	-	4
m	97•57	25	72•72	82,50	21.87	58.48	100	25	75

XXV//

ALL FORM

Retention Scores Achieved through Different Modes of Instruction

(Expressed in Percentages)

Sensory Image Type (Phase 1): P (4G)

Student No. 3

Sensory Image Type (Phase 2):

Test	I

	Pronunciation			ł	Structure			Content			
	M	M2	Мз	м	M2	M3	M	M2	M3		
	0	96,15	100	0	88.89	100	**	4	+		
	0	0	0	Ο	0	0		—	-		
	0	0	100	0	0	100		-	+		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	-		-		
m	Ò	24.04	50	0	22.22	50	0	25	50		

Test II

	100	0	95.24	100	0	85.71	+		+
	0	0	100	0	0	100	-	-	7
	C	0	Ο	0	O	0	-	-	-
	0	0	0	0	0	0	(80)	-	-
 m	25	0	48.81	25	0	46.43	25	0	50

χχν///

. }

Retention Scores Achieved through Different Modes of Instruction

(Expressed in Percentages)

Sensory Image Type (Phase 1): P (#A#C)

Student No. 4

2

5)

Sensory Image Type (Phase 2):_____

1000 1	Test	Ι
--------	------	---

	Pronunciation			1	Structure		Content			
	м	M2	M.3	Ml	M2	Мз	MJ	M2	Мз	
	100	100	100	100	100	100	+	+	+	
	100	100	100	100	100	100	4	+	+	
	100	100	100	100	100	100	4	+	4	
	94.12	100	10()	100	100	100	+	4	4	
m	98 . 53	100	10()	100	100	100	100	100	100	

Test II

1	100	100	75	100	98.08	72.91	100	100	75
	100	100	100	100	100	100	4	4	4
	100	100	Ο	100	100	0	4	+	-
	100	100	100	100	92.31	91.66	≠ .	+	/
	100	100	100	100	100	100	4	+	4

XXIX

Ì

	Retention	Scores /	Test I Achieved t (Express	and II (Pr hrough Dis ed in Perc	nase 2) fferent M centages)	Modes of Instruction			
Student No	•5			Senso: Senso:	ry Image ry Image	Type (Pha Type (Pha	ase 1): P ase 2):	(<i>f</i> AfC)	
				Test I					
Pr	onunciatio	n	S	tructure		#	Content	<u> </u>	
M	M ₂	143	M	M2	Мз	Ml	М2	Мз	
والمتقامين والمقارب المترافع والمتقامين	وروار منازعها والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ					<u></u>			
ور میکرداند و که محمد محمد برا	والمرد فالتلواني : ويتك المواد المراجع								
				Test II					

m.	98.21	73.61.	98.91	97.50	63.98	75.15	100	75	100	
	00.[J(X)	9 5.65	100	100	62,50	+	4	+	
	JCO	C	100	90	0	75	+	-	+	
	92.86	1 (X)	100	100	76.92	91.66	4	+	+	
	1.00	₩ ₩	1 00	100	75	71.43	4	+	,	

XXX

i

 $\overline{\mathbb{O}}$

É)

Retention Scores Achieved through Different Modes of Instruction

(Expressed in Percentages

Student No. 6

1-1

Sensory Image Type (Phase 1): C (/P)

Sensory Image Type (Phase 2):

Test I

	Promunciation			St	Structure			Content			
	MJ	M2	Мз	ML	Mʻz	Мз	M	M2	Мз		
	100	100	100	100	100	100	+	4	+		
	95.83	100	- 0	100	100	ο	#	+	-		
	0	100	100	0	100	100	-	+	+		
	94.12	100	100	100	100	100	+	4	4		
m	72.49	100	75	75	100	: 75	75	100	75		

Test II

 m	100	98.61	75	100	100	69•34	100	100	75
	100	100	100	100	100	100	4	4	4
	10 0	100	0	100	100	0	4	4	-
	100	100	100	100	10 0	91.66	+	+	4
	100	94•44	100	100	100	85.71	4	+	4

XXXI

0

Retention Scores Achieved through Different Modes of Instruction

(Expressed in Percentages)

 Sensory Image Type (Phase 1): P (4G)

 Student No. 7

 Sensory Image Type (Phase 2):

Test I

	Pronunciation			Structure				Content		
·····	Ml	M2	Мз	М	M2	M3	М	[™] 2	Mz	
	100	100	95•24	90.90	100	100	+	4	4	
	0	95•45	100	0	100	100	e 1	4	4	
	0	9 7 •78	100	0	100	100	-	4	4	
	0	100	100	0	100	100	-	4	4	
m	25	98.31	98.81	22.72	100	100	25	100	100	

Test II

	100	9 1: •1:11	100	100	100	85.71	+	+	+	
	100	100	100	60	92•30	100	4	+	+	
	100	100	^ O	100	100) Ø	+	+		
	100	100	100	100	100	100	4	+	+	
			·······							
m	100	98.61	75	90	98.07	7L-43	100	100	75	

XXXII



()

11

1

Retention Scores Achieved through Different Modes of Instruction

(Expressed in Percentages)

Sensory Image Type (Phase 1): C (+G)

Sensory Image Type (Phase 2):_____

Student No. 8

()

ERIC.

	Pror	nunciation			Structure		Content		
	MJ	M2	М3	M	M2	M3	м	M2	Мз
	100	0	100	100	0.	57.14	4		4
	100	100	100	100	87.50	100	+	4	+
	100	100	97.78	100	100	92.31	4	4	4
	100	0	0	100	0	ο	4	-	-
m 	100	50	74.45	100	46,87	62.36	100	50	75
					Test II				
	0	100	0	0	87.50	0		4	
	0	100	100	0	92.3 0	100	-	+	+
	. 100	95 •65	ο	100	100	ο	4	+	-
	0	100	0	0	100	0	-	+	-
m	25	98.91	25	25	9 4 •95	25	25	100	25

Test I

χ χ χ ///

Retention Scores Achieved through Different Modes of Instruction

(Expressed in Percentages)

Sensory Image Type (Phase 1): P (/A)

Student No. 9

D

Ĵ.

()

Sensory Image Type (Phase 2):_____

	Promunciation			Structure		Content				
<u>مسالي من</u>	M	M2	М	MJ	M2	™з	Ml	MZ	Мз	
••••••	97 - 44	96.15	90.48	100	100	100	4	+	4	
	0	0	93.33	0	0	75	-	-	4	
	0	0	35.55	0	0	100	•••	-	4	
	0	66.66	92.86	0	50	60	-	4	4	
m	24•36	40.70	93.05	25	37.50	83.75	25	50	100	
					Test II					
	96.15	94.44	90.48	100	87.50	85.71	+	ŕ	4	
	100	96-43	0	60	76.92	0	4	+	-	

Test I

m	99.04	47.72	47.62	87.50	41,10	143.30	100	50	50
	100	0	0	100	0	0	4	-	-
	100	0	100	90	0	87.50	4	-	+
	100	ÿ6 . 43	0	60	76 . 92	0	4	4	-
	96.15	94.44	90.48	100	87.50	85.71	4	ŕ	+

XXXIV

Retention Scores Achieved through Different Modes of Instruction

(Expressed in Percentages)

Sensory Image Type (Phase 1): P (+C)

Student No. 10

)

)

ERIC

Sensory Image Type (Phase 2):

*****	Pron	unciation		Structure			Content		
	М	M2	Мз	M	M ₂₂	Мз	M	M2	м3
	100	100	100	100	100	85.71	4	4	4
	0	100	93.33	0	87•50	100		4	4
	0	97 •78	100	0	9 0•91	100	-	+	+
	0	100	92.86	0	75	3.00	-	+	4
m	25	99• <u>1</u> 45	96,55	25	88,35	96 . 43	25	100	100

Test I

Test II

					300			
100	100	100	100	T 00	100	†	†	7
100	96•43	100	80	700	100	+	4	+
100	95.65	100	80	100	100	+	4	+
100	90	100	80	100	100	¥	4	4
m 1 00	95.52	100	85	100	100	100	100	100

XXV

Retention Scores Achieved through Different Modes of Instruction

(Expressed in Percentages)

Sensory Image Type (Phase 1): C (4P)

*

Student No. 11

))

Sensory Image Type (Phase 2):

Pr	onunciation	l .	Stri	icture		Co	Content			
М	M2	Мз	М	M2	Мз	М	M2	M3		
ο	100	100	0	100	100	-	+	4		
100	100	100	9 0.91	87.50	100	+	4	4		
92.3	100	100	100	100	100	4	4	4		
88.2)	100	100	100	75	100	4	+	4		
m 70°.14	100	100	72.73	90.62	100	75	100	100		

Test]	
--------	--

Test II

RÎC [.]	**************************************	<u></u>	• <u>••••</u> ••••	XXXVI				
m 100	97•50	75	100	96•87	66•22	100	100	100
100	90	0	100	100	0	4	4	+
100	100	100	100	100	87.50	+	4	+
100	100	100	100	100	91.66	4	4	4
100	100	100	100	87.50	85.71	4	+	4

na ana ang barang ba Barang barang

Individual and Total Retention Scores

and Individual and Mean Percentages

Student No. 1

(_)

		Pronunci			Structure				
Syntactical	I		II		I		II		2
Units	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	
Test I (Content Questions)									
1.	26	100	26	100	9	10 0	9	90	
2.	37	95	18	100	10	9 0.91	6	75	
3.	21	1.00	20	95 . 24	6	85.71	7	100	
Total	814	m 98 .33	64	m 98.41	25	m 92 . 21	22	m 88.33	
Test II (Response Questions)									
4.	21.	95.45	13	92.86	5	82.50	3	60	
5.	0	ο	28	100	0	0	11	64.61	
6.	0	O	0	0	0	ο	0	0	
Total	21	m 31.82	41	m 64.29	5	m 27 .5 0	זע	m 48.20	
Test III (Completion Questions)									
7.	45	100	23	100	13	100	8	72.73	
8.	1 /1	100	9	90	4	80	2	50	
9.	0	0	0	0	0	ο	0	0	
10.	15	88.24	1 /1	100	4	100	4	80	
11.	43	95•55	15	93•75	11	100	8	100	
12.	0	0	0	ο	0	ο	0	0	
Total	117	m 63.96	61	m 63. 96	32	m 63.33	22	m 50_116	
GRAND TOTAL	222	м 64.70	166	™ 75•55 X X	62 ′XVI	M 61.01	58	M 62.33	

Ş.,

Individual and Total Retention Scores

and Individual and Mean Percentages

Student No. 2

 \mathbb{O}

 \bigcirc

		Pronunci	lation		Structure				
Syntactical	I		п			I	II		
Units	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	
Test I (Content Questions)									
1.	26	100	25	96.15	9	100	7	70	
2.	38	97-44	18	100	11	100	?	87.50	
3.	19	90.48	20	95.24	5	71.43	5	71.43	
Total	83	m 95•97	63	m 97 .1 3	25	m 90.48	19	m 76.31	
Test II (Response Questions)									
4.	22	100	יזני	100	5	62,50	5	100	
5.	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	
6.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	22	m 33•33	יזר	m 33.33	5	m 20.83	5	m 33 . 33	
Test III (Completion Questions)									
7.	45	100	0	0	11	84.61	0	0	
8.	0	0	Q	0	0	Ο	0	0	
9.	0	0	16	94.12	0	0	6	60	
10.	15	88.24	14	100	4	100	5	100	
11.	<u>1</u> 11	97•78	16	100	7	63,55	7	87.50	
12.	6	66,66	22	95.65	2	50	6	75	
Mat - 1	011	m 58.7 8	68	m 64.96	24	m 49.69	24	m 53.75	
Total									

Individual and Total Retention Scores

and Individual and Mean Percentages

Student No. 3

()

()

		Promun	ciation		Structure				
Syntactical	Syntactical			II		I.		II	
Units	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	
<u>Test I</u> (Content Questions)									
l.	25	96.15	26	100	8	88.89	10	100	
2.	0	ο	0	ο	0	ο	0	0	
3.	21.	100	20	95 . 24	7	100	6	85•71	
Total	46	m 65 . 38	46	m 65.08	15	m 62.96	16	m 61.90	
) <u>Test II</u> (Response Questions)									
4.	0	0	0	ο	0	ο	0	0	
5.	0	0	0	ο	0	ο	0	0	
6.	0	ο	26	100	0	0	12	100.	
Total	0	0	26	33•33	0	ο	12	33.33	
Test III (Completion Questions)									
7.	45	100	0	ο	13	100	0	ο	
8.	0	ο	0	ο	ο	ο	0	0	
9•	0	ο	0	ο	0	Ο	0	0	
10.	0	O	0	ο	. 0	ο	0	0	
11.	0	0	0	ο	0	0	0	0	
12.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n	
Total	45	m 16.66	0	mO	13	m 16.67	0	mO	
GRAND TOTAL	91	M 27 . 35	72	1132 . 80 ХКХІ	28 X	M 26 .5 4	28	M31.74	
Individual and Total Retention Scores

and Individual and Mean Percentages

Student No. 4

()

ERIC AFUITEAL Provided by ERIC

		Pronu	nciatio	n		Stru	icture	
Syntactical		I		п		I		II
Units	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent
Test I (Content Questions)								
1.	26	100	26	100	9	100	10	100
2.	39	100	18	100	11	100	8	100
3.	21	100	21	100	7	100	7	100
Total	86	m 100	65	m 100	27	m 100	25	m 100
Test II (Response Questions)								
4.	22	100	14	100	8	100	5	100
5.	15	100	28	100	4	100	12	92,31
6. Total	24 61	100 m 100	26 68	100 m 100	11 23	100 m 100	11 28	91.66 m 100
<u>Total III</u> (Completion Questions)								
7.	45	100	23	100	13	100	11	100
8.	14	100	10	100	5	100	4	100
9.	13	100	17	100	6	100	10	100
10.	16	94.12	34	100	4	.100	5	100
11.	45	100	0	0	ш	100	0	0
12.	9	100	23	100	4	100	8	100
Total	142	m 99.02	87	m 83 . 33	43	m 100	38	m 83.33
GRAND TOTAL	28 9	M 99.67	220	м 94.44	93	<u>M</u> 100	91	M 92.66

XL

Individual and Total Retention Scores

and Individual and Mean Percentages

Student No. 5

		Promi	ciation	L		Stru	cture	
Syntactical		I		II		I		II
Units	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Sccre	Percent
Test I (Content Questions)								
1.			26	100			10	100
2.			17	94 .44			6	75
3.			21	100			5	71.4
Total			64	m 98 .15			21	m82.1
Test II (Response Questions)								
4.			23	92.86			5	100
5.			28	100			10	76.9
6.			26	100			11	91.6
Total			67	m 97.62			26	m 89.5
<u>Test III</u> (Completion Questions)								
7.			0	0			0	0
8.			10	100			24	100
9.			17	100			9	90
10.			ז ע	100			5	100
11.			16	100			6	75
12.			22	95 •65			5	62.5
Total			79	m 82,61			29	m 71.2
GRAND TOTAL			210	м 92•79 X I I			76	¥ 80.9

Individual and Total Retention Scores

and Individual and Mean Percentages

Student 6

()

Ó

		Promu	nciatio	n		Stru	cture	
Syntactical		I		II		I		II
Unilts	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent
Test I (Content Questions)								
1.	26	100	26	100	9	100	10	100
2.	39	100	17	94 . 44	11	100	8	100
3.	21	100	21	100	7	100	6	85•71
Total	86	m 100	64	m 98 .15	27	m 100	24	m 95 . 21
Test II (Response Questions)								
40	22	100	ית	100	8	100	5	100
5.	0	ο	28	100	0	ο	13	100
6,	23	95•83	26	100	ш	100	11	91.68
Total	45	m 65.28	68	m 100	19	m 66.66	29	m 97.22
Test III (Completion Questions)								
7.	45	100	23	100	13	100	11	100
8.	14	100	10	100	5	100	4	100
9•	0	ο	17	10 0	0	ο	10	100
10.	16	94.12	14	100	4	100	5	100
11.	45	100	0	ο	11	100	0	0
12.	9	100	23	100	4	100	8	100
Total	129	m 82 . 35	87	m 83.33	37	m 83 .33	38	m 83.33
GRAND TOTAL	260	м 82.54	219	м 93.83 V / //	83	M 83.33	91	M 91.93

Individual and Total Retention Scores

and Individual and Mean Percentages

Student No. 7

			Prom	unciatio	on		Struc	ture	
	Syntactical		I		Π		I		II
	Units	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent
	Test I (Content Questions)								
	1.	26	100	26	100	:9	100	10	100
	2.	39	100	17	94-44	10	90 <u>,</u> 90	8	100
	3.	20	95.24	21	100	7	100	6	85.71
	Total	85	m 98.41	64	m 98 .1 5	26	m 96 . 97	54	m 95 . 24
	Test II (Response Questions)				\$				
	4.	21	95.45	ז ת	100	8	100	3	60
	5.	15	100	28	100	4	100	12	92,30
	6.	0	0	26	100	0	0	12	100
	Total	36	m 65 .15	68	m 100	12	m 66.67	27	m 8410
	Test III (Completion Questions)					•			
	7.	45	100	23	100	13	100	n	100
	8.	ית	100	10	100	5	100	4	100
	9•	0	ο	17	100	0	Ο	10	100
	10.	0	ο	אָר	100	0	ο	5	100
1	11.	山	97.78	0	0	n	100	0	0
	12.	9	100	23	100	4	100	8	100
	Total	112	m 66.30	87	m 83ci3	33	m 66.67	38	m 83 . 33
ERIC Prattact recorded to ET	GRAND TOTAL	233	M 76-62	219	ж 93 .83 Х L///	71	M 76.??	89	N 87 . 56

Individual and Total Retention Scores

and Individual and Mean Percentages

Student No. 8

 $\langle \rangle$

		Pronunc	iation			Stru	cture	
Syntactical		I		II		I		п
Units	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percen
Test I (Content Questions)								
1.	0	0	0	ο	0	ο	0	0
2.	39	100	18	100	11	100	7	87.5
3•	21	100	0	0	4	57.14	0	0
Total	60	m 66.66	18	m 33.33	15	m 52 . 38	7	m 29 . 1
Test II (Response Questions)								
4.	22	100	0	0	7	87•50	0	0
5.	15	100	28	100	4	100	12	92.3
6.	24	100	26	100	11	100	12	100
Total	61	m 100	54	m 66.66	22	m 95•83	24	m 64.
Test III (Completion Questions)								
7∙	144	97.78	22	95.65	12	92.31	บ	100
8.	0	0	10	100	0	ο	4	100
9.	13	100	17	100	6	100	10	100
10.	17	100	0	0	4	100	0	0
11.	45	100	0	0	11	100	0	0
12.	0	0	0	ο	0	ο	0	0
Total	119	m 66.30	49	m 49.26	33	m 65 . 39	25	m 5 0
GRAND TOTAL	240	M 77.65	121	м 49•75	70	M 71.20	56	и 47-
				XLIV				

Individual and Total Retention Scores

and Individual and Mean Percentages

Student No. 9

J

			Pronun	ciation		يدونه نمية مل المحيدين المح	Stru	cture	
	Syntactical		I		II		I		II
	Units	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent
	Test I (Content Questions)								
	1.	25	96.15	25	96.15	9	100	10	100
	2.	3 8	97 . 44	17	9 4 •44	11	100	7	87,50
	3.	19	90.48	19	40.48	7	100	6	85.71
	Total	82	m 94.69	61	m 93.69	27	m 100	23	m 91.07
)	Test II (Response Questions)								
	4.	0	Ο	<u>14</u>	100	0	0	3	60
	5.	14	93-33	27	96 . 43	3	75	10	76.92
	6.	0	0	0	ο	0	0	0	Ο
	Total	34	m 31.11	4 2	m 65.48	3	m 25	13	m 45.64
	Test III (Completion Questions)								
	7.	43	95 •55	0	ο	1,3	100	0	0
	8.	13	92.86	0	ο	3	60	0	0
	9.	0	Ο	17	100	0	ο	9	90
	10.	0	Ο	J 14	100	0	Ο	5	100
1	11 .	0	Ο	16	100	0	ο	7	87.50
J	12.	6	66,66	0	ο	2	50	0	0
	Total	62	m 42.51	47	m 50	18	m 35	21	m 46.25
٩	GRAND TOTAL	158	M 56.10	JT ¹ 8	M 69.72	48	M 53.33	57	м 60.99
					XL	V			

Individual and Total Retention Scores

and Individual and Mean Percentages

Student No. 10

 \bigcirc

 $\left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right)$

		Pronune	ciation			Stru	cture	
Syntactical		I		II		I		II
Units	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percen
Test I (Content Questions)								
1.	26	100	2 6	100	9	100	10	100
2.	39	100	18	100	1 1.	100	8	100
3.	21	100	21	100	6	85.71	7	100
Total	8 6	m 100	65	m 100	26	m 95•24	25	m 100
Test II (Response Questions)								
4.	22	100	과	100	7	87.50	4	80
5.	14	93.33	27	96.43	4	100	13	100
6.	0	0	26	100	0	Ο	12	100
Total	36	m 64.14	67	m 98.81	11	m 62.50	29	m 93 . 3
Test III (Coopletion Questions)								
7.	45	100	22	95.65	13	100	11	100
8.	13	92.86	9	90	5	100	4	100
9•	0	ο	17	10 0	0	0	8	80
10.	0	0	14	200	0	0	L,	80
11.	717	97.78	16	100	0	0	4	80
12.	9	100	23	100	3	75	8	100
Total	111	m 65.11	101	m 97.61	31	m 60.96	43	m 93•3
GRAND TOTAL	233	M 76.52	233	M 98.81	68	M 72.90	97	M 95•5
				XL	VI			

Individual and Total Retention Scores

and Individual and Mean Percentages

Student No. 11

5

3

		Pronun	ciation			Stru	cture	
Syntactical		I		II		I		II
Units	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent	Score	Percent
Test I (Content Questions)								
1.	26	100	26	100	9	100	10	100
2.	C	0	18	100	0	ο	7	87,50
3.	21	100	21	:100	7	100	6	85.71
Total	47	m 66.66	65	m 100	16	m 66.66	23	m 91.07
Test II (Nesponse Questions)								
4.	22	100	1)1	100	7	87•50	5	100
5.	15	100	28	100	4	100	13	100
6.	24	100	2 6	100	10	90.91	11	91.66
Total	61	m 100	68	m 100	21	m 92.80	29	m 97 . 22
Test III (Completion Questions)								
7.	45	100	23	100	13	100	11	100
8.	ירד	100	9	90	5	100	4	100
9.	12	92.31	17	10 0	6	100	10	100
10.	15	88.24	14	100	4	100	5	100
11.	45	100	16	100	· 11	100	7	87.50
12.	9	100	0	ο	3	75	0	0
Total.	1 40	m 96 . 76	79	m 81.67	42	m 95.83	37	m 81.25
GRAND TOTAL	248	M 87.81	212	m 93.89 X L	79 VII	m 85 .10	89	M 89 . 85

*This column represents achievement in retention and partial retention of (0-25%)
subject matter.
**Percents of student population.

Ĵ)

	First Quar (0-25%)-	tuže *	Second Qua (26–50%)	rtile	Third Qua (51-75)	rtile %	Fourth Qu (76-10	artile 0%)
Sense Modality	Retention**	Partial Retention**	Retention	Partial Retention	Retention	Partial Retention	Retention	Partial Retention
Audio	50	83	50	17	0	o	0	0
Pictorial	28	72.2	50	22	۲	5 •6	н	o
fraphic	28	68	52•2	Ц	16.5	o	O	0
Combined	17	50	ելի՝ 5	կկ •2	33 •3	5.5	5 . 5	0

Full and Partial Retention of Mords in

the Four Quartile Ranges of Achievement

XLVIII

ERIC Full East Provided by ERIC